



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2, AND ARE CLOSED CAPTIONED FOR OUR HEARING IMPAIRED VIEWERS. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM AND ARE ALSO REBROADCAST ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 10:00 AM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION – REVEREND SUSAN YOUNG, GREEN VALLEY PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MINUTES:

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, M. McDONALD BROWN, L.B. McDONALD (excused from the afternoon session until 1:25 pm), WEEKLY, and MACK

Also Present: CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS, ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER BETSY FRETWELL, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN (A.M. Session), DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Downtown Transportation Center, City Clerk's Board

Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road

Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway

Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall

City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:06 – 9:07)

1-1

REVEREND SUSAN YOUNG, Green Valley Presbyterian Church, gave the invocation.

(9:07 – 9:08)

1-20

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:08 – 9:09)

1-58

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MARK VINCENT, Director of Finance and Business Services; GREG HERLEAN, Purchasing and Contracts Division Manager; and JOHN GRIEGO, Fleet Services Supervisor, joined MAYOR GOODMAN in honoring TRACEY CROCKETT, Senior Buyer, Purchasing and Contracts Division, as December's Employee of the Month for taken it upon herself to reevaluate the Division's requirements, thus reducing the amount of expired contracts by an average of 50 percent. She has also negotiated the City's tire contract and saved around \$50,000 and reduced the amount of time taken to purchase new vehicles and other equipment, resulting in a reduction of the process from six to two months and an estimated savings of approximately \$20,000. She continued to amaze staff with her work ethic, the amount of time saved, and the increase in productivity due to her diligence.

MS. CROCKETT thanked the Council and Field Operations for the recognition. She felt especially grateful to have her oldest daughter, who recently won student of the month at her school, present to witness such an honor, which gives her the opportunity to be a roll model to her daughter. She also thanked her friends for their support and her co-workers.

MR. VINCENT noted that MS. CROCKETT'S nomination was extra special because it was one of her customers who recognized her extraordinary work and nominated her for Employee of the Month.

(9:12 – 9:15)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION TO THE ESSAY WINNERS FROM THE BETSY RHODES ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN COUNCILMAN MACK stated that this fall at the Centennial Hills celebration he had the privilege to view the essays from the children on the topic What the American Flag Means to Us. He indicated that two of the three essay winners were in the audience, accompanied by DARYL WYATT, Principal of Betsy Rhodes Elementary School. The children spoke from their heart and truly exemplified what it is to be a proud American. They should grow up to be fine leaders.

MR. WYATT indicated that the essay contest was open to all the students, and he was quite honored to see the quality of work that was submitted. The three winners were chosen by the community. He was very proud of the students.

(9:20 – 9:23)

1-440

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION TO THE LAS VEGAS HIGH SCHOOL FOOTBALL TEAM, STATE CHAMPIONS

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Before recognizing the Las Vegas High School Football Team, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY acknowledged the Andre Agassi College Preparatory Academy Choir in the audience, along with the choir director, [LAMONT PINKNEY](#). He thanked them for their charitable services. The Choir sang We Wish You A Merry Christmas at the conclusion of all the Ceremonial Matters.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY then recognized the Las Vegas High School Football Team (Wildcats) for their recent victory at the Nevada IV-A State Football Championship. The Wildcats beat the defending the champions, McQuaine High School, from Northern Nevada with a score of seventeen to ten. It was a very exciting game.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY noted that this team is very special to him because his intern COREY WILLIAMS, who is part of the PAL Program, is also a member of the team. Unfortunately MR. WILLIAMS could not be present because he also plays basketball and he was in Hawaii. He presented HEAD COACH KRIS CINKOVICH and COACH CHRIS FAIRCLOTH with a proclamation and a special large trophy, which he felt was appropriate. He indicated that he was very proud of the Coaches, especially since it had been 42 years since the School won a state championship.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

Ceremonial

Recognition of the Las Vegas High School Football Team, State Champions

MINUTES – Continued:

Finally, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY presented the following players with the Las Vegas City Council 2001 NVP Award: STEVE CREECH, MUSTAFA BARNES-CRUZ, CHAD POOL, ANTIONE WHITE. He also had an NVP Award for COREY WILLIAMS, who was not present.

HEAD COACH CINKOVICH thanked the City Council for this great honor and their support. He indicated it was a very special season for Las Vegas High School. He also expressed appreciation on behalf of BERRY GUNDERSON, Las Vegas High School, and JOE GALLA, Assistant Principal, who were in Hawaii.

(9:23 – 9:30)

1-529

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

SPECIAL PRESENTATION TO THE CITY COUNCIL FROM THE LONE MOUNTAIN
LITTLE LEAGUE

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MARTY LAWLY, National Softball Association, stated that Freedom Park was voted outstanding park for 2001, which reflects the great work of City staff JOHN BLACK, BILL WEISMILLER, LEE PRINGLE, and DOUG RACE at that field.

(9:30 – 9:31)

1-760

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION TO THE BROWN'S GYMNASTIC TEAM, STATE CHAMPIONS

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN invited DAYNA ISAACS, coach and owner of Brown's Gymnastics, and the members of her Gymnastics Team to join him to be recognized for their recent triumph in taking the Level 5 team title at the State Championships. The event was hosted by Gym Nevada in Sparks and Brown's Team took the coveted first place honors in the 6-to-8-year-old division. The team also took home the silver trophy for the 6-to-7-year-old division. Two girls in Level 6 proudly took the bronze trophy for their group. MAYOR GOODMAN wished the girls well at the upcoming Lady Luck Invitational on January 18th.

MS. ISAACS thanked the Council for the award. She congratulated all of the team members and indicated that they all worked arduously at the meet.

(9:31 – 9:32)

1-796

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS
DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:
CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF THE ANGEL TREE PROGRAM

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD gave thanks to those that assisted with the Angel Tree program. She gave special thanks to the strongest supporters: Dan Contreras, Howard Hughes Corporation, Nevada Power Company, the law firm of Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner, and Renshaw, and Attorney John Moran, Jr.

(9:35 – 9:37)

1-924

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

Ceremonial – Continued

Proclamation for Steve Rigazio Day

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN proclaimed December 19, 2001, as Steve Rigazio Day in honor of MR. RIGAZIO for being an active member of the community. He also has tirelessly served many organizations to bring about awareness on a variety of issues and continues to educate Nevadans about ALS-Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis, also known as Lou Gehrig's disease.

MR. RIGAZIO, accompanied by his wife and friend, accepted the proclamation and thanked the Council for their support and the honor. He said that he feels very fortunate, as MR. GEHRIG felt, despite some bad breaks in life. He is very fortunate to have such a wonderful family, life, and great friends. He also considers himself very lucky to live in the City of Las Vegas, which, to him, is the greatest city, and to have the opportunity to serve many charities in his profession and personal life.

(9:09 – 9:12)

1-85

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

Ceremonial – Continued

Special Presentation by Councilman Brown to David Boggs

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN BROWN gave special recognition to DAVID BOGGS, Citizens Area Transit System, for being a wonderful person not only in his profession, but also in the community and for his active involvement in many charitable organizations throughout the community. COUNCILMAN BROWN noted that JIM WOLF, also with Citizens Area Transit System, said that MR. BOGGS is a rare individual that takes very serious his responsibility to the community and gives unselfishly. He has a great deal of respect for the community he lives in, is comfortable to be around, and has an open door policy at work.

MR. BOGGS, joined by his wife, was very flattered and surprised to receive such an honor. He thanked the Council, especially those that have served on the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC). He noted that the partnership the City and the RTC has made things better at CAT.

(9:15 – 9:20)

1-276

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

Ceremonial – Continued

Recognition of Rupen Ghatak for his Humanitarian Service

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD presented a proclamation proclaiming 12/19/2001 as RUPEN GHATAK Day for his humanitarian service in India. MR. GHATAK thanked COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD for the proclamation. He gave special thanks to his parents for making it possible for him to serve and give his time. He encouraged every youth to do the same because it feels quite rewarding to serve others.

(9:32 – 9:35)

1-850

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

Ceremonial

Presentation to Councilwoman McDonald

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

GREG TOUSSAINT, Chairman of The Lakes Boat Parade of Lights, and BURT STEINBERG, President of The Lakes Association, presented COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD with a plaque as a token of their appreciation for being the Grand Marshal at the Parade of Lights. The Parade of Lights is an old tradition that was resurrected as a result of the 9/11/2001.

(9:37 – 9:38)

1-1006

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 30, 65, 67, and 76 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 79 to 1/2/2002 and Items 77 and 78 to 1/16/2002 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1174

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of November 21, 2001 and the Special City Council Meeting of August 19, 2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED by Reference - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)
1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Accounting Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 11/01/01 - 11/15/01

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$ 19,378,162.72
Total Payroll Checks	\$ 4,372,023.20
Total Wire Transfers	\$ 29,892,035.04
Total NBS and City Investments	\$ 0.00

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY advised that the Real Estate Committee met to review all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE & BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an increase in the City's required letter of credit (from \$7,433,000 to \$9,747,000) for workers compensation claims (\$11,500 - Workers Comp Internal Services Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$11,500

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: H.R./Insurance Services

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Workers Comp Internal Services Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

NRS 616B.300(2) requires that "a self-insured employer must, in addition to establishing financial ability to pay workers compensation claims, deposit with the commissioner a bond executed by the employer as principal, payable to the State of Nevada," and NRS 616B.300(4) requires that this "deposit may be increased or decreased by the commissioner." The City received a letter from the Office of the Commissioner of Insurance requiring such increase.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Council authorize this increase in the workers compensation letter of credit.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. State of Nevada Department of Business and Industry Division of Insurance letter dated 10/22/01
2. Memorandum from Vicki Robinson, Manager of Insurance Services to Mike Olson, City Treasurer dated 11/9/01

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a report by the City Treasurer of the December 6, 2001 sale of properties subject to the lien of a delinquent assessment in SID District 505 and various other districts

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

NRS 271.565 states that within 15 days after the completion of the sale of all property described in the assessment roll upon which a delinquent assessment or installment is unpaid, the municipal treasurer shall prepare a statement of his actions concerning the sale, showing all the property sold by him, to whom sold and the sums paid for each tract. Such report shall be presented to the governing body at its regular meeting next following the preparation of the statement.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Report of Sale Memorandum from Michael K. Olson, City Treasurer, dated December 6, 2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Kisha Jules, 1924 H Street, Kisha Jules, 100% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Lilia Pico, 8153 Spur Court, Lilia Pico, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Rebel Oil Company, Inc, dba Rebel #88, 7191 West Craig Road, Jack E. Cason, Pres, 46%, Carl L. Bailey, Secy, Treas, 19%, Patrick J. Cason, Supervisor, 7% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership, Location and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License subject to Health Dept. regulations, From: Nick Mallas, dba Blue Angel Restaurant and Cocktail Lounge, 2110 Fremont Street (Non-operational), Nick Mallas, 100%, To: Jose Arana, dba Pepe's Tavern, 1729 East Charleston Blvd., Suites A-B, Jose Arana, 100% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership, Location and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Shareholder/Manager for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License, Rebel Oil Company, Inc., dba Rebel #73, 901 North Buffalo Dr., Patrick J. Cason, Supervisor, 7% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Shareholder/Manager for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Shareholder/Manager for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License, Rebel Oil Company, Inc., dba Rebel #77, 6400 West Lake Mead Blvd., Patrick J. Cason, Supervisor, 7% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Shareholder/Manager for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Amy C. LaDuke, dba Amy C. LaDuke, 4013 Coriander Lane, Amy C. LaDuke, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Cerissa Chavez, dba Cerissa Chavez, 7728 Antero Circle, Cerissa C. Chavez, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Douglas Taylor, dba Douglas Taylor, 2221 West Bonanza Rd., #52, Zachary D. Taylor, 100% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Laurie Lee D'Andrea, dba Laurie Lee D'Andrea, From: 2620 Regatta Dr., Suite 112, To: 2636 Seahorse Drive, Laurie Lee D'Andrea, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Patricia L. D'Alessio, dba Patricia L. D'Alessio, From: 2620 Regatta Dr., Suite 112, To: 8440 West Lake Mead Blvd., Suite 110, Patricia L. D'Alessio, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Angel Leigh Avalos, dba Angel Leigh, From: 4720 West Sahara Ave., To: 7616 Delaware Bay Lane, Angel L. Avalos, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License, Xiao Ping Wang, dba Far East Massage Center, 5000 West Oakey Blvd., Suite D-2, Xiao P. Wang, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Psychic Art and Science License, Corinne Haynie subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, dba, Direct Reconnect, 1833 West Charleston Blvd., Corrine M. Haynie, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Psychic Art and Science License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Preapproval of Bid Number 01.15301.18-LED, Doolittle Community Center - Renovation & Addition to the lowest, responsive & responsible bidder and approve the construction conflicts & contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Public Works - (Estimated monetary range of \$6,100,000 - \$8,200,000 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$8,200,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This project consists of a complete renovation of the existing 38,000 s.f. facilities, including asbestos removal; gymnasium, pool, locker rooms, office staff area, weight room, game room, classrooms, etc. Also includes demolition and reconstruction of a 6,000 s.f. multi-use swimming pool and new pool deck; new landscape and site work. There are six additive alternate items in the bid, 1) exterior security cameras, 2) body flume pool slide, 3) folding partitions, 4) parking lot seal coat, 5) zinc shingle perforations & 6) accelerated completion schedule 260 CD vs 290 CD.

The incremental annual operations, maintenance and utility costs related to this project are estimated to be \$330,000 annually.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council preapprove the award of Bid Number 01.15301.18-LED, Doolittle Community Center - Renovation & Addition to the lowest, responsive & responsible bidder in the estimated monetary range of \$6,100,000 - \$8,200,000 and approve a construction & contingency reserve set by Finance & Business Services.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Consent - Finance and Business Services
Item 20 – Bid No. 01.15301.18-LED

MOTION – Continued:

Item 30: **STRICKEN under separate action** (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 020010-TC, Open End Contract for Various Heavy Duty Vehicles - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: FRIENDLY FORD and PACIFIC UTILITY (Aggregate amount of \$665,560 - Capital Projects Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$665,560

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Fleet Trans.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This contract will provide for the purchase of various heavy duty vehicles for the City of Las Vegas to be awarded as follows: Lots 1 & 2 to Friendly Ford for \$131,885; and Lots 3 & 4 to Pacific Utility for \$533,675.

POC: Friendly Ford, Bart Peterson - (702) 877-6526

POC: Pacific Utility, Pat Whitaker - (702) 363-3801

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 020010-TC, Open End Contract for Various Heavy Duty Vehicles to Friendly Ford and Pacific Utility in the aggregate amount of \$665,560 from date of award through current production year.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 020001-LED, Annual Requirements Contract for Work Related to Dangerous Buildings - Department of Neighborhood Services & Real Estate Division - Award recommended to: Various Contractors (\$600,000 - Various funds)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$600,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Neighborhood Svcs/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Various Sources

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas will establish annual requirements contracts for demolition services, removal of debris from abandoned buildings, removal of abandoned vehicles, boarding up of abandoned buildings, removal of asbestos and asbestos surveys with the various contractors.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 020001-LED-ARC for Work Related to Dangerous Buildings to be used by Neighborhood Services Department & Real Estate Division for the period from date of award through Dec. 31, 2002 with options to renew in the annual estimated amount of \$600,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to award Bid Number 01.15301.19-LED, East Yard Transfer Station and approve the construction conflicts & contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: B&H CONSTRUCTION, INC. (\$561,454 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$561,454

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This project includes the construction of a 9,543 sf metal building with fire suppression, odor mitigation system, minor asphalt paving and a sand/oil interceptor. It also includes the award of three additive alternate items: 1) Provision of a 8" X 8" X 16" CMU building perimeter wall to 6' in lieu of 4'; 2) Expansion of building one bay (25') in width and provide two 40' openings in lieu of one; and 3) construction of one additional sand/oil interceptor.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 01.15301.19-LED, East Yard Transfer Station to B&H Construction, Inc. in the amount of \$561,454 and approve a construction & contingency reserve of \$60,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for one (1) Pierce 1000 GPM Pumper (TG) - Department of Fire & Rescue - Award recommended to: PIERCE MANUFACTURING, INC. - (\$302,646 - Capital Projects Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$302,646

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request provides for purchase of one (1) Pierce 1000 GPM Pumper, flat-roof 2-door Kenworth Chassis, 435 horsepower Caterpillar diesel engine and 3500 gallon water tank. The Pumper will be used by the Fire & Rescue Dept. for response to fire emergency calls throughout the City of Las Vegas.

This requirement is exempt from competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.115 1(d), Equipment which, by reason of the training of the personnel or of an inventory of replacement parts maintained by the local government is compatible with existing equipment.

POC: Curtis Clifford - (800) 352-4266

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for the purchase of one (1) Pierce 1000 GPM Pumper to Pierce Manufacturing Inc., in the amount of \$302,646.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICE

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for a single purchase requirement of pharmaceutical supplies (KF) - Department of Fire & Rescue - Award recommended to: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (\$136,000 - Special Revenue Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$136,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Special Revenue Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This requirement is for the purchase of pharmaceutical supplies for the Metropolitan Medical Response System (MMRS).

This item is exempt from competitive bidding under NRS 332.115.1(a), Items which may be only contracted from a sole source.

POC: Mark Burchess - (410) 642-2244 ext 267

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for pharmaceutical supplies to U.S. Department of Health and Human Services in the amount of \$136,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 020031-TC, Annual Requirements Contract for Traffic and Zone Paint - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: TMT PATHWAY, LLC (Estimated annual amount of \$120,000 - General Fund).

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$120,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/TEFO

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This contract will provide for the purchase of traffic and zone paint for the City of Las Vegas.

POC: Ed Ridge - (800) 835-3357

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 020031-TC, Annual Requirements Contract for Traffic and Zone Paint to TMT Pathway, LLC in the estimated annual amount of \$120,000 from date of award through April 30, 2003, with four (4) one-year options to renew.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the issuance of purchase order for the purchase of copier machine maintenance - Various Departments - Award recommended to: XEROX CORPORATION (Estimated annual amount of \$115,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: Estimated \$115,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Various

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the purchase of copier machine maintenance used by departments throughout the City of Las Vegas.

This item is exempt from competitive bidding requirements pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(a), items which may only be contracted from a sole source.

POC: Angela Edwards-Craig - (702) 733-9500

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of purchase order for copier machine maintenance to Xerox Corporation in the estimated annual amount of \$115,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of authorization to use the State of Nevada Request for Proposal Number 6394 for the purchase and maintenance of one (1) Xerox copier (CW) - Department of Information Technologies - Award recommended to: XEROX CORPORATION (Estimated amount of \$54,000 - Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$54,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Information Technologies

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Internal Service Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On April 10, 2001, the State of Nevada approved the award of RFP number 6394 for the purchase, rental or lease of copiers and their maintenance. This requirement will provide for the purchase of one (1) copier for the Development Services Center.

This purchase is exempt from competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.195, which allows local government to join onto or use the contract of other local government agencies.

POC: Jay Henderson - (801) 535-8542

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the use of the State of Nevada RFP 6394 for the purchase and maintenance of one (1) copier in the estimated amount of \$54,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of rejection of bid and award of Bid Number 020012-TC, Open End Contract for End Dump Trailer and Mowers - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: TRUCK PARTS & EQUIPMENT (\$27,950 - Capital Projects Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$27,950

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Fleet Trans.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This contract will provide for the purchase of Lot 1: an end dump trailer for Streets and Sanitation to be awarded to Truck Parts & Equipment. Lot 2 will be rejected and rebid at a later date.

POC: Bob Wilson - (702) 657-1500

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the rejection of Lot 2 and the award of Bid Number 020012-TC, Open End Dump Trailer and Mowers, Lot 1 to Truck Parts & Equipment in the amount of \$27,950 from date of award through current production year.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES

DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to contract with Standard Life & Accident for reinsurance (\$315,000 - Self-Insurance Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$315,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Human Resources

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Self-Insurance Internal Service Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City Health Insurance Plan (CHIP) is a partially self-funded Preferred Provider Organization (PPO) plan. Reinsurance is obtained to limit the exposure on both a claims and aggregate perspective. Standard Life & Accident has submitted the lowest cost reinsurance proposal for calendar year 2002. This rate is a reduction of \$155,700 from the calendar year 2001 rates for reinsurance coverage.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the contract with Standard Life & Accident be approved.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Rate proposal from COMRISK INSURANCE brokers, for Standard Life & Accident reinsurance proposal for specific excess and aggregate excess insurance

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 30, 65, 67, and 76 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 79 to 1/2/2002 and Items 77 and 78 to 1/16/2002 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1174

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES

DIRECTOR: JOSEPH MARCELLA

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the Infragard Agreement between the city of Las Vegas and the Federal Bureau of Investigations

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The FBI in conjunction with the Attorney General's office has developed a Cyber Crime Task Force. The City has been a part of that task force since its inception. A new fact of the Task Force is the Infragard Program. The department of Information Technologies would like to participate in this program so we may receive security information and create a cooperative effort to prevent intrusion of the City's infrastructure and network.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is staff's recommendation that the Mayor, City Council approve the Infragard Membership Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and the Federal Bureau of Investigations (FBI).

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Infragard Las Vegas FBI Field Office Program Information and Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: MUNICIPAL COURT**DIRECTOR: JAMES P. CARMANY**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval to extend the current first party collection agency services agreement with NCO Financial Systems, Inc. for a period of 12 months beginning December 31, 2001 through December 31, 2002 (\$152,752 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$152,752☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Municipal Court/SED☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Fines and Fees**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

To provide first party billing and skip tracing services on misdemeanor Failure to Appear and adjudicated cases which are delinquent with the Municipal Court. In difference to third party debt collections, this service provides the Court with the resources to skip trace, locate and contact delinquent defendants, significantly enhancing in-house enforcement efforts. Defendants are allowed to resolve their cases prior to the more expensive option of arrest. For adjudicated cases, this service allows defendants the opportunity to resolve their cases prior to being reported to the credit bureau under NRS 176.064. NCO has been successful in recovering over \$1.8 million in delinquent fines and fees for the Court that would have otherwise gone uncollected. The Services Agreement may be extended for 2 additional 12-month periods.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City approve the extension of the first party collection agency services agreement with NCO Financial Systems, Inc. beginning December 31, 2001 through December 31, 2002 in the amount of \$152,752.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. NCO Financial Systems, Inc. Second Extension to First Party Debt Receivables Management Services Agreement
2. Disclosure of Principals: NCO Financial Systems, Inc.

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

Consent – Municipal Court

Item 32 – Approval to extend the current first party collection agency services agreement with NCO Financial Systems, Inc. for a period of 12 months beginning December 31, 2001 through December 31, 2001

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: MUNICIPAL COURT**DIRECTOR: JAMES P. CARMANY**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval to extend the current third party collection agency services agreement with NCO Financial Systems, Inc. for a period of 12 months beginning December 31, 2001 through December 31, 2002 (\$15,107 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$15,107☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Municipal Court/SED☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Fines and Fees**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

To provide professional and licensed collection agency services for the purpose of third party debt collection on cases with delinquent fines and fees. The current contract has been in effect since September 1998. The contract may be extended for 1 additional 12-month period. NCO has been successful in recovering over \$1.8 million in delinquent fines and fees for the Court that would have otherwise gone uncollected.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City approve the extension of the third party collection agency services agreement with NCO Financial Systems, Inc. beginning December 31, 2001 through December 31, 2002 in the amount of \$15,107.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. NCO Financial Systems, Inc. Extension and Third Amendment of Collection Agency Services Management Services Agreement
2. Disclosure of Principals: NCO Financial Systems, Inc.

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: MUNICIPAL COURT**DIRECTOR: JAMES P. CARMANY**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval to enter into a third party collection agency services agreement with OSI Collection Services, Inc., Government Services Division for a period of 12 months beginning December 31, 2001 through December 31, 2002 (\$76,400 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$76,400☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Municipal Court/SED☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Fines and Fees**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

To provide professional and licensed collection agency services for the purpose of third party debt collection on cases with delinquent fines and fees. This process is in accordance with NRS 176.065 that allows delinquent criminal and traffic misdemeanor cases to be assigned to a collection agency by the Court. It is projected that this agency will provide the Court with approximately \$650,000 in revenue. This agreement may be extended for four additional 12-month periods.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City approve the third party collection agency services agreement with OSI Collection Services, Inc., Government Services Division beginning December 31, 2001 through December 31, 2002 in the amount of \$76,400.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. OSI Collection Services, Inc., Government Services Division Collection Agency Services Agreement
3. Disclosure of Principals: OSI Collection Services, Inc.

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

Consent – Municipal Court

Item 34 – Approval to enter into a third party collection agency services agreement with OSI Collection Services, Inc., Government Services Division for a period of 12 months beginning December 31, 2001 through December 31, 2002

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of assigning Deeds of Trust and Note on 808 and 820 West Lake Mead which secure a business loan made to Louis Conner to Nevada Title Company in exchange for all principal, interest, and foreclosure fee charges currently owed by him to the city - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On August 2, 1989, City Council approved a loan in the amount of \$67,500 to Louis Conner to improve his restaurant business and create jobs. Mr. Conner refinanced the property securing the loans in 2001. The title company did not note the city liens in their title search and must now pay the city \$42,060.92 plus interest and foreclosure fees in exchange for the city's Deeds of Trust and Note.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that the City Council approve assigning the Deeds of Trust and the Note to Nevada Title Company.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to appraise and purchase or condemn sewer easement parcels for the Jones Boulevard Sewer Project between Centennial Parkway and Farm Road (\$40,000 - Sanitation Funds) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$40,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: CLV Sanitation

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Declaration of Utilization from the Bureau of Land Management for a portion of the Southeast Quarter (SE 1/4) of Section 5, T20S, R60E, M.D.M., for sewer purposes located along the south side of the Hickam Avenue alignment and the west side of the Juliano Road alignment, east of El Capitan Way - 138-05-801-005 and 138-05-801-006 (County) near Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Contract #386 by and between the City of Las Vegas, Clark County and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada for right-of-way and design of the Alexander Road, Durango Drive to Rancho Drive improvements (\$625,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) Wards 4 and 6 (Brown and Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: \$625,000

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Interlocal Contract by and between the City of Las Vegas, Clark County and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada is for right-of-way and design of the Alexander Road, Durango Drive to Rancho Drive improvements. The Regional Transportation Commission approved this contract at their October 11, 2001 Board meeting. Total cost for this project shall not exceed \$3,800,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Contract #386

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Contract #387 by and between the City of Las Vegas, Clark County and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada for right-of-way and design of Tenaya Way, Beltway to Elkhorn Road improvements (\$410,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: \$410,000

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Interlocal Contract by and between the City of Las Vegas, Clark County and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada will encumber funding for right-of-way and design of Tenaya Way, Beltway to Elkhorn Road improvements. The Regional Transportation Commission approved this contract at their October 11, 2001 Board meeting. Total cost for this project shall not exceed \$3,000,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Contract #387

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Contract #388 by and between the City of Las Vegas, Clark County and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada for right-of-way and design of the Alexander/Hualapai, Cheyenne Avenue to Durango Road improvements (\$700,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: \$700,000

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Interlocal Contract by and between the City of Las Vegas, Clark County and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada is for right-of-way and design of the Alexander/Hualapai, Cheyenne Avenue to Durango Road improvements . The Regional Transportation Commission approved this contract at their October 11, 2001 Board meeting. Total cost of this project shall not exceed \$6,855,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Contract #388

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Contract #389 by and between the City of Las Vegas, Clark County and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada for right-of-way and design of the Jones Boulevard, Beltway to Elkhorn Road improvements (\$400,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: \$400,000

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Interlocal Contract by and between the City of Las Vegas, Clark County and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada will encumber funding for right-of-way and design of the Jones Boulevard, Beltway to Elkhorn Road improvements . The Regional Transportation Commission approved this contract at their October 11, 2001 Board meeting. Total cost of this project shall not exceed \$3,000,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Contract #389

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Supplemental Interlocal Contract #319a by and between the City of Las Vegas, Clark County, the City of North Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada for construction of Ann Road, US-95 to Decatur Boulevard (\$12,461,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: \$12,461,000

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Supplemental Interlocal Contract by and between the City of Las Vegas, Clark County, the City of North Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada will encumber funding for construction and construction engineering for Ann Road, US-95 to Decatur Boulevard. The Regional Transportation Commission approved this contract at their October 11, 2001 Board meeting. Total cost of this project shall not exceed \$12,711,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Supplemental Interlocal Contract #319a

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from David P. James on behalf of Centennial Court, LLC, owner (Skypointe Drive at Buffalo Drive) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Landscaping of the medians adjacent to the property on Buffalo Drive. There will also be landscaping of the medians and amenity zones on Skypointe Drive adjacent to and along the property line, which will also extend approximately 503' northward from Buffalo Drive. Landscaping shall consist of trees, shrubs, ground cover, and an irrigation system to satisfy a condition of TM-0006-00, FM-0028-00, and Z-0074-99 for the proposed Buffalo/US95 Davis offsites. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement, which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (Skypointe Drive at Buffalo Drive)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Rich Brown on behalf of Clark County School Board of Trustees, owner (southwest corner of Vegas Drive and Robin Street) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment will consist of an approximate 5' wide strip of landscaping on the west side of Robin Street extending approximately 390' southward from Vegas Drive consisting of decomposed granite, shrubs, and an irrigation system to satisfy a condition of Z-0028-93(2) for the proposed Advanced Technologies Academy expansion. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (Robin Street south of Vegas Drive)
2. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "B"/Typical Section of Robin Street

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Mike Bellon on behalf of Nine Parked Place, LLC, and Logan Realty Nevada, LLC, owners (Sahara Avenue approximately 1000' west of Rainbow Boulevard) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment will consist of an approximate 8.5' wide strip of landscaping on the north side of Sahara Avenue beginning approximately 1000' west of Rainbow Boulevard and extending approximately 625' consisting of decomposed granite, shrubs, and an irrigation system to satisfy a condition of Z-57-98(2) for the proposed Falconi's Acura of Las Vegas. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (Sahara Avenue west of Rainbow Boulevard)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Chad Vellinga, P.E., Civil Engineer, on behalf of Juan Raul Acosta, owner (northwest corner of Commerce Street and Utah Avenue) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment will consist of one concrete pull box with a concrete lid at the northwest corner of Commerce Street and Utah Avenue and four 5'x5' planter areas along the Commerce Street property line and three 5'x5' planter areas along the Utah Avenue property line each consisting of ground cover, star palm trees, and an irrigation system to satisfy a condition of SD-0041-01 for a proposed outdoor storage yard. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (northwest corner of Commerce Street and Utah Avenue)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from VTN Nevada on behalf of Astoria Homes, owner (El Capitan Way between O'Hare Avenue and Iron Mountain Road) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment will consist of a 5' wide strip of landscaping on the west side of El Capitan Way extending between O'Hare Avenue and Iron Mountain Road consisting of ground cover, shrubs, and a drip irrigation system to satisfy conditions of SD-0002-99, TM-0007-99, and FM-0030-99 for the Iron Mountain Estates Unit 2 subdivision. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (El Capitan Way between O'Hare Avenue and Iron Mountain Road)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - Elizabeth Raymond, owner (north of Cheyenne Avenue, east of Garehime Avenue, APN 138-11-407-010) - Near Ward 6 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request is to connect a single family dwelling located at 6574 West Cheyenne Avenue. The owner proposes to connect to an existing 15" sewer line located in Cheyenne Avenue. The Planning Department has determined the project does conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicant has signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement" and "Petition for Annexation."

RECOMMENDATION:

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City Codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda memo

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Donald Campbell on behalf of Evangelista, LLC, owner (southwest corner of Garces Avenue and Seventh Street) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment will consist of a 10' wide strip of landscape on the north side of Garces Avenue extending 130' westward from Seventh Street and a 10' wide strip of landscape on the west side of Seventh Street extending 65' southward from Garces Avenue consisting of sidewalk, sod, and an irrigation system to satisfy a condition of Z-0008-01 for a professional office building. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (southwest corner of Garces Avenue and Seventh Street)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from David P. James on behalf of Centennial Crossroads Plaza, LLC, owner (northwest corner of Skypointe Drive and John Herbert Boulevard) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Landscaping of the medians adjacent to the property on Skypointe Drive, John Herbert Boulevard, and Buffalo Drive. Also landscaping along the Skypointe Drive and John Herbert Boulevard property lines. All landscaping will consist of trees, shrubs, ground cover, and an irrigation system to satisfy a condition of Z-0076-98(10) for the proposed Buffalo/US95 Regency offsites. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (northwest corner of Skypointe Drive and John Herbert Boulevard)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Encroachment Request from Brechler and Bell Consulting Engineers, Incorporated, on behalf of LT Enterprises, LLC, owner (Leon Avenue south of Alexander Road) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment will consist of a meandering sidewalk and two planter areas approximately 5' wide and 14' long adjacent to the property line on the west side of Leon Avenue each consisting of decomposed granite, boulders, ground cover, trees, and shrubs to satisfy a condition of Z-108-00(1) for the proposed Tonopah Terrace commercial center. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (Leon Avenue south of Alexander Road)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with Stantec Consulting Inc., to provide a Master Plan and Schematic Design for Washington and Buffalo Park (\$197,670 - Capital Project Fund)-Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$197,670

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Project Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas desires to Master Plan 150 acres for park space adjacent to Summerlin Parkway between Buffalo Drive and the Angel Park Detention Basin. These new improvements will provide additional park amenities for the citizens of Las Vegas.

Stantec Consulting Inc., is a local landscape architectural and engineering firm. They, along with their sub consultants, bring many years of experience to the project. They will provide the Master Plan and Schematic Design services required for the project.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Agreement #107811 with the Las Vegas Valley Water District for the Rainbow Boulevard Improvements - Northern Section, Rancho Drive to Ann Road - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas desires to install new and modify existing water facilities as part of the road improvements to Rainbow Boulevard.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Agreement #107811

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a payment to Sprint Central Telephone Nevada for facility relocation costs related to the Valley View Storm Drain Phase 2 Project (\$60,000 - City of Las Vegas Nominal Drainage Fund) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$60,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: City of Las Vegas Nominal Drainage Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City desires to construct Phase 2 of the infrastructure improvements for the Valley View Nominal Storm Drainage System located in Spanish Oaks Drive at Sahara Avenue. A part of the work includes relocating existing telephone conduits in the Sahara Avenue right of way that are in conflict with the proposed storm drain. Sahara Avenue is under the jurisdiction of the Nevada Department of Transportation and therefore no franchise agreement with the City exists. The estimated cost of the work is \$50,800 plus a \$9,200 contingency for a total of \$60,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Sprint Cost Proposal Letter

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with Consultant Domingo Cambeiro Corporation for Architectural and Civil Engineering Construction Management services for the Northwest Family Golf Course and Park located at the northwest corner of Durango Road and Cheyenne Road (\$106,100 - Capital Projects Fund-Enterprise Fund) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$106,100

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Enterprise Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas desires to construct an 18-hole Executive Golf course and 7.8 acre park. The City seeks construction management services from the Architect of record, for the Clubhouse, Cart Storage facility, Pump House, Park Structural elements, and Maintenance Building.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approves the negotiated Designated Services Agreement with Domingo Cambeiro Corporation for construction management services in the amount of \$106,100 and approve an Additional Services contingency reserve of \$ 11,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a First Amendment to a Professional Services Agreement with TJ Consulting for Construction Management services for the Northwest Family Golf Course and Park located at the northwest corner of Durango Road and Cheyenne Road (\$241,795 - Capital Projects Fund-Enterprise Fund) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: (\$241,795

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Enterprise Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas desires to construct an 18-hole Executive Golf course and 7.8 acre park. The City seeks Construction Management services for the Golf Course Greens and Park construction.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approves the negotiated Designated Services Agreement with TJ Consulting for construction management services in the amount of \$241,795 and approve an Additional Services contingency reserve of \$ 12,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

First Amendment to a Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-151-2001 - Approval of a resolution directing the City Treasurer to give notice of the sale of properties subject to the lien of a delinquent assessment in districts 404 and 707 located in Wards 2 and 4 (L.B. McDonald, Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

NRS 271.545 states that when an assessment or installment becomes delinquent, the City shall direct the City Treasurer to give notice of the sale of the property or properties subject to the lien of a delinquent installment.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council approve this resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-151-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-152-2001 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Tenth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report re: Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of streets, sanitary sewer, storm sewers, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Estancia at The Vistas.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-152-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-153-2001 - Approval of a Resolution approving the Tenth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of streets, sanitary sewer, storm sewer, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Estancia at The Vistas.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-153-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-154-2001 - Approval of a Resolution overruling complaints, protests and objections and confirming the final assessment roll for Special Improvement District No. 1469 – 4th Street (Washington Avenue to Adams Avenue) (\$50,771.11 – Capital Projects Fund – Special Assessments) – Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$50,771.11

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund – Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb, gutter, sidewalk, driveway approach and street lights.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-154-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval authorizing staff to submit a letter to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) amending the City's application for 600 acres of land to exclude 30 acres of BLM land located in the vicinity of Brent Lane and Fort Apache Road in favor of the Clark County School District (CCSD) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In a letter dated September 26, 2001, from the BLM to CCSD, the City was notified that CCSD chose a portion of this acreage to build an elementary school. To alleviate the application conflict, the City would like to commit to the relinquishment of this acreage in favor of CCSD. As growth occurs in the valley, CCSD requires additional sites for school facilities to continue to provide the children of the valley with essential educational facilities.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 12/17/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Letter of Amendment to BLM
2. 9/26/01 Letter from BLM
3. Site Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) #2001-3 between the City of Las Vegas (City) and the Clark County School District (CCSD) which grants use of approximately 1-2 acres of land located at Gragson Elementary School, 555 North Honolulu Street to the City - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Staff is bringing forward MOU #2001-3 to allow for the future development of recreational facilities to service the area. The facilities proposed include a soccer field, a baseball field, and parking lots to accommodate participants and guests. This is all subject to change within the design phase. City will be involved with the design, development, and location of the improvements. City will develop, construct, and maintain the improvements as City funding allows. In return for CCSD providing the land to the City, CCSD will be allowed use of the park property during school hours.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 12/17/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. MOU #2001-3
2. Site Map
3. Aerial Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-29 and 31-62 with MACK abstaining on Item 45 due to the pending court case on certain allegations and GOODMAN abstaining on Item 18 because of his personal relationship with the applicant through an associate – UNANIMOUS

Item 30: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

Consent – Public Works

Item 62 – Approval of a Memorandum of Understanding #2001-3 between the City of Las Vegas and the Clark County School District which grants use of approximately 1-2 acres of land located at Gragson Elementary School, 555 North Honolulu Street to the City

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report from the City Manager on emerging issues

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City Manager (CM) Report will be a vehicle for the City Manager to update the Council on emerging issues that may have an impact on the City of Las Vegas. The CM Report will be a reoccurring item for every Council meeting. If there are no items for the particular meeting, the City Manager will recommend that the item be stricken.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required. The report was given.

MINUTES:

CITY MANAGER VALENTINE noted that State wide taxable sales tax is up 2.9% and up 2.3% in Clark County. She opined that the increases are largely due to automotive sales. Had it not been for an increase in automobile sales, there would have been a decrease of about 3.5%. Therefore, staff still feels that there will be an impact to the City.

She pointed out that the City recently passed the half-a-million-population mark. The population grew by 218,000 people between 1991 and 2001, or about a 73% increase. The City is now ahead of St. Louis, Atlanta, Minneapolis, Miami, and Cincinnati, and more equal in population to cities such as Denver, Portland, Charlotte, and Oklahoma City.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

Administrative

Item 63 – Report from the City Manager on emerging issues

MINUTES - Continued:

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to find out the ranking of the City of Las Vegas as far as size.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to report at the 1/16/2002 meeting on the intentions for the properties at Washington/Decatur and Nebraska/Decatur. The two properties are an eyesore. He requested the property owners be advised so that they could possibly attend the meeting to answer questions.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN also instructed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to report on the property at Sahara and Valley View because it is a major eyesore.

There was no further discussion.

(9:45 – 9:48)

1-1267

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Discussion and possible action concerning the payment of utility costs associated with the operation of the Northwest Leisure Services Center – Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$200,689☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Utilities☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On January 7, 2000, the City and the Young Men's Christian Association of Southern Nevada (YMCA) entered into an interim operating agreement for the management, operation and maintenance of the City-owned Northwest Leisure Services Center. On February 7, 2001, the City Council approved the request by the YMCA that the City assume payment of all utility costs associated with the operation of the Center. The annual cost of these utilities was estimated to be approximately \$136,500 for 2001. However, due to increasing energy costs during this last year, the actual costs for 2001 are estimated to total \$191,000. Approval was given by Council for a one-year period beginning January 1, 2001. At the current time, the YMCA is requesting that the City continue to pay the utility expenses for this facility for 2002 and all-future years in which the YMCA is contracted to operate this facility. This would require a modification to the operating agreement. The estimated cost for 2002 is \$200,689.

RECOMMENDATION:

We recommend that the Council review the YMCA request and direct staff accordingly.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Letter from YMCA dated November 5, 2001

2. YMCA Invoices for Utility Reimbursement January through December 2001

Submitted at meeting: list of total expenses for City community/recreation centers for fiscal year 2001 by Councilman Brown

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED payment for one year – motion carried with WEEKLY, L.B. McDONALD, and M. McDONALD voting NO

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

Administrative

Item 64 – Discussion and possible action concerning the payment of utility costs associated with the operation of the Northwest Leisure Services Center

MOTION - Continued:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD disclosed that he and his family have known MR. LUBE for many years and that his father greatly supports the YMCA. However, he did not feel that that would impede his ability to vote.

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

STEVE HOUCHENS, Deputy City Manager

MIKE LUBE, President and CEO of the YMCA

RICK CRAWFORD, Chairman of the YMCA Board of Directors

VICKY OZUNA, Secretary, City Employees Association

(9:48 – 10:25)

1-1406

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Kimberly Marie Cerillo, 2300 East Silverado Ranch Boulevard, Apt. 2144, Bldg. #17, Las Vegas, NV 89123

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 30, 65, 67, and 76 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 79 to 1/2/2002 and Items 77 and 78 to 1/16/2002 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1174

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Approved December 20, 2000 subject to one year review: Ralph Eugene Gibson, Jr., 2200 South Fort Apache, Apt. 1195, Las Vegas, NV 89147

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because MR. GIBSON is associated with the Meadows School, where his wife is very involved

MINUTES:

The appellant was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, indicated that a representative of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department contacted him and indicated that there are no concerns to report and recommended approval of the work card.

There was no further discussion.

(10:25 – 10:26)

1-3022

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Leslie Ellen Bauer, 1250 American Pacific, Apt. 2814, Henderson, NV 89014

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 30, 65, 67, and 76 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 79 to 1/2/2002 and Items 77 and 78 to 1/16/2002 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1174

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Amended Four-Party Agreement to fund preliminary work regarding monorail project and accept request by Stratosphere Corporation to be removed from the Agreement and other matters pertaining thereto

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council amend this Agreement.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Amended Four-Party Agreement

Submitted at the meeting: draft of the amended agreement, cover memo from Diana West, FSE, and letter from Stratosphere Corporation to Mark Paris

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the Amended Agreement – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting and M. McDONALD abstaining because he is considering purchasing property in the downtown area (in the County) that might be impacted by the monorail alignment

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

DOUG SELBY, Deputy City Manager

BETSY FRETWELL, Assistant City Manager

AL GALLEGOS citizen of Las Vegas

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stressed that the Stratosphere Corporation should bear the costs associated with realignment, if they should ever wish to participate in the future.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

City Attorney

Item 68 – Discussion and possible action regarding Amended Four-Party Agreement to fund preliminary work regarding monorail project and accept request by Stratosphere Corporation to be removed from the Agreement and other matters pertaining thereto

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to schedule a meeting with him so that they could place a call to the Stratosphere Corporation regarding his concern about its decision to pull out of the agreement.

(10:26 – 10:42)

1-3099

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action to authorize the City Manager to approve refinancing of Fremont Street Experience Parking Corporation bank loan and to execute all necessary documents in connection therewith

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council approve refinancing of Fremont Street Experience Parking Corporation Bank Loan.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC indicated that in 1995 the Fremont Street Experience opened the Red Parking Garage, which was managed by Alright Sierra Parking. Alright Sierra Parking is going to leave, and Fremont Street Experience is going to take over the responsibility. Therefore, Fremont Street Experience will have to refinance the existing loan with Nevada State Bank, which will be paid off with retail monies as well as income from the parking aspect. Consequently, the City will be paid back its money much sooner. He requested that CITY MANAGER VALENTINE be authorized to finalize the agreements with the Fremont Street Experience Corporation to hopefully close on 12/31/2001.

He pointed out that even though the principal will go up, it will a much more favorable agreement for the City. However, if there is any problem, CITY MANAGER VALENTINE could request to bring the matter back before the Council for consideration.

There was no further discussion.

(10:42 – 10:48)

2-175

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Presentation and acceptance of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2001

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

NRS 354.624 requires an annual audit of the City be conducted by an independent accounting firm. It further requires the audit report and the CAFR be presented to the governing body with the recommendations and the summary of narrative comments. The audit was conducted by KPMG LLP. The City received an unqualified opinion with no material findings, improvements or recommendations. The report will be filed as a public record with the City Clerk, Clark County Clerk, and Nevada Department of Taxation.

RECOMMENDATION:

Accept the report presented by the independent auditor.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – ACCEPTED the presentation, reserving action for 1/16/2002 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

This matter was originally trailed so that CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC could determine whether a presentation could be made without having to take action on the matter, as COUNCILMAN REESE felt concerned about not being given the opportunity to review or be briefed on the extensive booklet that was issued to the Council members just prior to the meeting.

Subsequently, CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC reported that action was not necessary, but that the presentation had to be made and read into the record at this meeting in order to comply with NRS, because it has done to be done within 30 days of the date that the report was submitted to the City.

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, introduced TOM SNOW, Partner, KPMG, who was in charge of the audit and would give a report on the findings and recommendations, if any.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 70 – Presentation and acceptance of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2001

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. SNOW indicated that as required by NRS, generally accepted accounting principles, and the standards promulgated by the governmental finance officers association, this Comprehensive Annual Financial Report is a complete report of the City's financial activities for the year ended June 30, 2001. The report is in multiple sections: transmittal letter regarding the financial status of the City, financial statement exhibits, and ten years worth of supplemental data that is very valuable in terms of the City's bond offerings and various analytical issues and trends that may come up. In accordance with the City's policy, as a recipient of federal funds in a significant amount, the City is required to prepare an annual single audit as the term is defined in the federal statutes. That audit report is also contained in the Financial Report.

The report constitutes three separate audit reports from KPMG. The first on the financial statements, the second on compliance with NRS, and the third on compliance with federal single audit act requirements and federal grant requirements. All three reports were unqualified and without exception. He commended City staff for their accomplishments, as well as the City Council for the choices they took in February to freeze hires in light of the down turn in the economy, before the events of 9/11/2001.

Governmental Accounting Standards Opinion No. 33 was required to be implemented this year in preparation for a major change that is happening in the year 2002. That Opinion required a major review of all revenue sources, and the City had no significant changes.

(10:44 – 10:48/11:04 – 11:11)

2-248/2-913

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action to amend the Parks Capital Project Fund (CPF) Priority List by re-allocating unobligated funds from completed or cancelled projects to new or adjusted project work scope

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

City policy requires that unobligated Park CPF funds, arising from the sale of land or from project closeout, revert back to the fund reserve for future allocation by the City Council. Several projects have recently been closed-out and funds are available for re-allocation.

RECOMMENDATION:

City Council considers re-allocating unobligated Park CPF funds.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

City of Las Vegas, Parks CPF Funding Status

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended, to hold the funds for potential improvements to Brinley Pool, reallocate funds for the firefighters memorial at All American Park with remaining funds for the Washington/Buffalo Regional Park, and redirect funds earmarked for Alexander Linear Park, requiring staff to put together an updated list differentiating between funded and non-funded parks projects, and staff to issue the remaining equipment for the soccer fields to Field Operations for their use as necessary – **UNANIMOUS**

MINUTES:

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, explained that the matter was placed on the agenda as a result of discussions held at the previous Council meeting about the cancellation of a park project partnership with the Community College of Southern Nevada. Consequently, the Council and staff felt that there were other projects that needed funding review.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 71 – Discussion and possible action to amend the Parks Capital Project Fund Priority List by reallocating unobligated funds from completed or cancelled projects to new or adjusted project work scope

MINUTES – Continued:

He then went over some of the park projects. He recommended that Brinley Pool project be abandoned, as it is unclear whether the School District would be interested in taking over the pool. The allocated \$300,000 was to be used toward the planning and design of a replacement pool. Demolition and rehabilitation of the pool would probably cost about \$100,000, leaving \$200,000 that could be reallocated for the nearby Heers Park, with any remaining funds to revert back for future allocation. He recommended reallocating the \$200,000 to Heers Park.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD questioned why Heers Park was not on the parks list. MR. VINCENT answered that there are some parks that require maintenance and refurbishments that are not normally treated as capital projects. The list of those parks is provided to Field Operations.

COUNCILMAN MACK interjected that COUNCILMAN WEEKLY has great concerns about the dilapidation of Brinley Pool. Therefore, he would rather keep the allocated funds for Brinley Pool until further discussions can be held with the School District and make any reallocations later if necessary.

With respect to the funds left due to the cancellation of the soccer park project with Community College, MR. VINCENT recommended \$200,000 of the \$700,000 be used for the rehabilitation and upgrades for the house complex of the All American Football Complex, perhaps another \$60,000 could be applied for the firefighter memorial at Redwood Oakey Park, and the balance of \$450,000 allocated to the Washington/Buffalo Regional Park, which is on the list but not completely funded.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD remarked that the house at the All American Park is one of the best in the West. However, the house that was to be demolished has become an eyesore, and he would like that to cease. As far as the firefighters memorial, he would like it dedicated in honor of those that have died as well as those that are still living. He would like any leftover funds be used for the complex at Washington and Buffalo.

COUNCILMAN REESE felt that since the money had been set aside for the soccer field complex in Ward 1, it should be spent as COUNCILMAN McDONALD deems necessary to benefit of Ward 1 residents.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 71 - Discussion and possible action to amend the Parks Capital Project Fund Priority List by reallocating unobligated funds from completed or cancelled projects to new or adjusted project work scope

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. VINCENT asked if the Council would like to reallocate the funds for what was to be Alexander Linear Park, which the Council would like to relocate farther to the West.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD requested that a list be drafted to differentiate between those parks projects that have been fully funded and those that have not to make it easier for the Council members to decide how the funds will be reallocated. The current list is too confusing, and she felt very uncomfortable in moving forward. However, she decided to act on the matter as long as staff puts together an improved list. MR. VINCENT indicated that he would follow through, stating that it was a very good suggestion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commented that a lot of consideration has been put into the Brinley Pool rehabilitation project. Hopefully both the parents and the school will understand that there is much more to the project than just patching up the swimming pool. The pool is actually sinking, so it is a major safety concern that must be adequately addressed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to discuss the Brinley Pool matter further with the School District.

There was no further discussion.

(10:48 – 11:04)

2-370

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: J.U.N.K., Inc., dba The Junkyard, Sandra L. Wright, Dir, Secy, 50%, Angela J. Urgitano, Pres, Treas, 48%, George A. Lohr, 2%, To: Cavalier, Inc., dba Junkyard Lounge, 2327 South Eastern Ave., Adam B. Corrigan, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Adam Corrigan

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The applicant was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, explained that the reports were late because there was a change in Las Vegas Metropolitan Police staff. He recommended approval.

There was no further discussion.

(11:11 – 11:13)

2-1178

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Rebecca J. Affleck, dba Rebecca J. Affleck, 4480 East Charleston Blvd., Rebecca J. Affleck, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be made following discussion of the item at the City Council meeting.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to a six-month (6/19/2002) review and that, should staff or Metro discover any derogatory information, the license be surrendered and massage activity cease until a hearing by the Council – **UNANIMOUS**

MINUTES:

The applicant was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, indicated that a detective from the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (Metro) contacted him to report that MS. AFFLECK offered massage services out of her home. The detective did not follow through, but MS. AFFLECK was contacted, at which time she indicated that the report was accurate and that she was doing it to raise customers. MS. AFFLECK violated three provisions of the Municipal Code: offering services prior to Council approval, working out of her home, and operating without a license. However, if the Council would like to grant a business license, he recommended a six-month review and that MS. AFFLECK surrender her license and cease massage activity, pending a prompt hearing by the City Council, if any derogatory information is developed by staff or Metro.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 73 – Discussion and possible action regarding a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Rebecca J. Affleck, 4480 East Charleston Boulevard

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN advised MS. AFFLECK of the seriousness of her violations.

COUNCILMAN REESE confirmed with MS. AFFLECK that she concurred with the conditions MR. DiFIORE recommended.

There was no further discussion.

(11:13 – 11:16)

2-1222

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License subject to Health Dept. regulations, From: Kurtis K. Killinsworth, dba Basic Bargain, Kurtis K. Killinsworth, 100%, To: Selv, Inc., dba Friendly Market, 823 East Ogden Ave., Basim Shabo, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be made following discussion of the item at the City Council meeting.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

WEEKLY – ABEYANCE to 1-2-2002 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The applicant was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, explained this is the purchase of a beer/wine/cooler off-sale license issued in April 1997. A condition was imposed prohibiting the sale of quarts and single cans and bottles of beer. MR. SHABO has a slight criminal record with incidents in the last five years, including three stolen cases of cigarettes. That felony charge was reduced to a misdemeanor for which he was charged \$500. In 1995 the applicant was arrested for battery on a person with no charges being filed. In 1996 in San Diego MR. SHABO was cited for selling a six-pack of beer to a minor and was subsequently fined \$285. Currently, MR. SHABO is co-owner of Brothers Mini-Mart at 750 Fremont Street. The business has no beer/wine/cooler off-sale license. The offenses committed are contrary to expected cooperation of the law for convenience store owners. The offenses would have been grounds for denial had they been

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 74 – Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License subject to Health Dept. regulations, From: Kurtis K. Killinsworth, dba Basic Bargain, Kurtis K. Killinsworth, 100%, To: Selv, Inc., dba Friendly Market, 823 East Ogden Ave., Basim Shabo, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

MINUTES – Continued:

pursued as originally charged. However, it is Council's discretion whether to allow MR. SHABO the opportunity to prove himself as a good operator. In that instance, MR. DiFIORE recommended the issuance of a six-month conditional license with a review by the City Council, adherence to the conditions of the sale of alcohol, MR. SHABO to report any arrest or citations of himself, employees or patrons occurring on the premises within 48 hours in writing to Business Services, and that if any information develops during such time revealing that he is in violation of Business Licensing Codes, MR. SHABO would surrender the license to his office and cease the sale of beer and wine pending a prompt hearing by the City Council.

BASIM SHABO responded that he came from California to start over, away from his past. As for the last charge, he was innocent but took the advice of his attorney to accept a lesser charge with only a fine.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY questioned the illegal cigarette purchase, battery charge and sale of alcohol to a minor. However, he would prefer to meet privately with MR. SHABO to avoid any discussion at the public meeting, which might embarrass the applicant. This is definitely an area where the City is trying to clean up, improve aesthetics and ensure a positive quality of life. MR. SHABO responded that such is his intention. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stressed that he wants operators in Ward 5 to hold to a higher standard. This is a privilege license.

There was no further discussion.

(11:16 – 11:22)

2-1341

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Approval of Member for a Tavern Liquor License and a Restricted Gaming License for 14 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Lightman-Gourmet, LLC, dba The Gourmet Café, 330 South 3rd Street, Suite 100, Daniel D. Gans, Mmbr, 50% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Approval of Member for a Tavern Liquor License and a Restricted Gaming License for 14 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Dennis Gutwald

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

CHIP LIGHTMAN, primary owner and manager of Lightman-Gourmet and manager of MR. GANS, who was home sick with the flu, was present. MAYOR GOODMAN stated that MR. GANS is a tremendous asset to the community and has provided incredible charitable contributions to Las Vegas since 9/11/2001.

COUNCILMAN REESE indicated that MR. GANS is a tremendous entertainer.

There was no further discussion.

(11:22 – 11:24)

2-1589

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES**DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action to allow consulting group OHMS, a Blue Cross/Blue Shield subsidiary, to assist the City in developing and implementing an enhanced safety/loss control program (\$70,879 - Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$70,879**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: Human Resources**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: Internal Service Fund****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

An internal audit of the City of Las Vegas's safety/loss control program found that, while generally adequate, improvements could be made that would result in fewer accidents and lower workers' compensation and liability costs. After consideration of four providers, OHMS was selected to assist in the development and implementation of an enhanced safety/loss control program, on a turn-key basis.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that OHMS Consulting Services be approved to assist the safety/loss control program.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Memo from Vicki Robinson to F. Claudette Enus dated 11-19-01
2. Loss Control Management Services Proposal Presented by OHMS
3. Approval of Purchase Safety/Loss Control Consulting Services POMS & Associates

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 30, 65, 67, and 76 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 79 to 1/2/2002 and Items 77 and 78 to 1/16/2002 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1174

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding reallocation of \$1,038,662.13 of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds from various completed projects and program income to the Downtown Community Center with Progress Report of Center Status - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$1,038,662.13

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: CDBG

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The following projects are completed or fully funded and the amounts remaining from the initial allocations will be reprogrammed to the Downtown Community Center: CDBG Admin, \$56,569.15; Nevada Homes for Youth, \$12.22; Economic Development Loans, \$100,000; NALA-Kitchen Equipment, \$430.76; Architectural Services for CDBG Projects, \$289,650; Maryland Villas Child Care facility, \$317,000. In addition, \$275,000 of program income is being allocated.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that City Council approve the reallocation of funding.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Progress Report
2. Project Timelines
3. Letter from Asian American Ad Hoc Committee

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 30, 65, 67, and 76 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 79 to 1/2/2002 and Items 77 and 78 to 1/16/2002 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1174

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action on a Professional Services Agreement with JMA Architecture Studios for Architectural and Engineering design services to rehabilitate the Downtown Community Center located at 302 South 9th Street for \$177,090 of Community Development Block Grant funding - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$177,090

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Community Development Block Grant

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

As rehabilitation of the Downtown Community Center for occupancy progressed, staff realized that in order to meet set timelines and occupy the building as expeditiously as possible, a new architect firm capable of handling larger responsibilities and faster turnaround was required. JMA Architecture Studios has demonstrated with past and current city projects that it has the staffing capabilities to meet the city requirements.

RECOMMENDATION:

City Manager recommends that the Council approve this Professional Services Agreement with JMA Architectural Studios.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 30, 65, 67, and 76 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 79 to 1/2/2002 and Items 77 and 78 to 1/16/2002 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1174

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGECITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding the Interlocal Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and the Community College of Southern Nevada for the Opportunities for Displaced Workers Program - All Wards

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The tragic terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001 caused irreparable demise which lead to the displacement of workers in the Las Vegas Valley. Through a collaboration with the Community College of Southern Nevada (CCSN), the City of Las Vegas created the Opportunities for Displaced Workers program to meet the needs of workers effected by reduction in force, including reduction in hours, temporary lay-offs or termination in response to the terrorist attacks. The program is a citywide effort to train or re-educate individuals in order to minimize the impact of unemployment, while increasing their chance for employability. The City will utilize existing staff to assist the CCSN with community outreach and identification of potential clientele.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval of the Interlocal Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and the Community College of Southern Nevada for the Opportunities for Displaced Workers Program and authorize the Mayor to execute the agreement.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Agreement for the Opportunities for Displaced Workers Program

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 30, 65, 67, and 76 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 79 to 1/2/2002 and Items 77 and 78 to 1/16/2002 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1174

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RESOLUTIONS:

R-155-2001 - Discussion and possible action regarding a Resolution adopting The Historic John S. Park Neighborhood Plan as an Addendum to the Neighborhood Planning Process Component of the City of Las Vegas General Plan - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In 1998, the City Council adopted the Neighborhood Planning Process as a component of the General Plan. The process contemplates the adoption of individual neighborhood plans as they might be developed by particular neighborhoods desiring to participate. The John S. Park Neighborhood Planning Team has proposed for the John S. Park area a neighborhood plan entitled The Historic John S. Park Neighborhood Plan which was approved by the Planning Commission on November 15, 2001 as DB-0009-01.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council approve this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Resolution No. R-155-2001
2. The Historic John S. Park Neighborhood Plan

MOTION:**REESE – APPROVED - UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

BOB BALLATS, President of the John S. Park Neighborhood Association and Chairman of the Planning Team for this Plan, 823 Park Paseo, explained that the association was formed in 1995 to challenge various developments that would dramatically harm the historical residential neighborhood. The association has had neighborhood clean ups, block parties, assisted elderly neighbors with household projects and opposed the expansion of adult businesses in the neighborhood. The residents of John S. Park development take pride in their community and are willing to take an active role to protect it.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 80 – R-155-2001 - Discussion and possible action regarding a Resolution adopting The Historic John S. Park Neighborhood Plan as an Addendum to the Neighborhood Planning Process Component of the City of Las Vegas General Plan - Ward 3 (Reese)

MINUTES – Continued:

The plan before the Council was prepared in accordance with the requirements of R-27-98. There were 35 active members geographically representing the neighborhood. Three neighborhood meetings were held, with the voting outcome supporting the plan before the Council. The plan consists of neighborhood conditions, historic district, Mary Dutton Park and land use.

The neighborhood conditions section shows the neighborhood to be a well-kept, primarily residential neighborhood. The historic district indicates the residents' desire to preserve roughly one-third of the neighborhood as a historic residential area. The Mary Dutton Park section outlines the residents' work to redevelop the park into a community asset. The land use section demonstrates the residents' desire to maintain the residential nature of the neighborhood and prevent further commercial encroachment. He thanked Neighborhood Planning and YORGO KAGAFAS for their efforts and dedication during the two-year process. He requested the Council's support.

MARY HAUSH, 1139 Fifth Place, stated that she lives in the 50-year old Gubler house and echoed the comments made by MR. BALLATS. She thanked COUNCILMAN REESE for his support in preserving the fragile neighborhood.

MAYOR GOODMAN indicated the educational process he has been through since being elected and one of the most pleasing of that education has been seeing neighborhood associations such as this coming together and working with the City to continue the quality of life. One of his goals is the revitalization of the downtown area. This neighborhood is critical as is the commitment of the residents. In the last two and a half years, the care being given the area has been exciting and just wonderful. He congratulated the residents and all those at the City involved in the process.

COUNCILMAN REESE stated that he did his job by helping, but he was not the driving force of this process. Witnessing the closeness of the residents and the example they have set has been wonderful. Other residents want the City to do the same in their neighborhoods, but that may take some time given current budget constraints. The most important beneficial aspect of this has been the park. Every step of this has been driven by and meets the desires of the residents who live there. He urged other neighborhoods not to get discouraged and to strive for this type of successful plan.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North Nineteenth Street, commented that what these residents did for the park along Charleston was just tremendous.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 80 – R-155-2001 - Discussion and possible action regarding a Resolution adopting The Historic John S. Park Neighborhood Plan as an Addendum to the Neighborhood Planning Process Component of the City of Las Vegas General Plan - Ward 3 (Reese)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(11:24 – 11:33)

2-1680

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS

ABEYANCE ITEM - ETHICS REVIEW BOARD – Earle W. White, Jr., Term Expiration 4-14-2001; Robert J. Fleming, Term Expiration 4-14-2001; Linda Young, Term Expiration 5-12-2001

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In 1993, Ordinance No. 3699 was enacted abolishing the previous Ethics Committee and establishing an Ethics Review Board consisting of five members, terms of four years with no limit to the number of terms which may be served. Council members from Wards 1 through 4 were to appoint one person to the Board with the Mayor designating the Chair subject to confirmation by a majority of the City Council. Earle White, appointed in 1997 by Mayor Jones, has served as Chair and Robert Fleming, appointed in 1997 by Councilman Reese, has served as Vice Chair of this Board. Ward 4 Councilman Callister appointed Linda Young in 1997. Mr. White, Mr. Fleming and Ms. Young are eligible for reappointment. At the August 1, 2001 Council Meeting, Ordinance No. 5346 was adopted which expanded the existing Board from 5 to 7 members, keeping the initial appointment process intact. Consequently, these three seats remain eligible for appointment. At the November 7 Council Meeting, this item was abeyed to December 19, 2001.

RECOMMENDATION:

Options are:

Mayor Goodman to reappoint Mr. White OR appoint another citizen as Chairman with Council confirmation;

Councilman Reese to reappoint Mr. Fleming OR appoint another citizen as member;

Councilman Brown to reappoint Ms. Young OR appoint another citizen as member.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Revised Listing and Authority – Ethics Review Board
2. Board Interest Forms – James Akers, Renn Reed and John Baynes

MOTION:

GOODMAN – ABEYANCE to 2/20/2002 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because of his pending hearing with the Ethics Review Board

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

Boards and Commissions

Item 81 – Ethics Review Board

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN confirmed with CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC and CITY CLERK RONEMUS that the Ethics Review Board will meet 2/14/2002 and moved to hold the item in abeyance until the Council meeting following that date, 2/20/2002.

There was no further discussion.

(11:33 – 11:34)

2-2026

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS**

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action on the two or four year appointment of the Ward 6 representative on the Ethics Review Board in accordance with Ordinance No. 5436, adopted August 1, 2001

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

At the City Council Meeting of August 1, 2001, Ordinance No. 5346 was adopted increasing the membership on the Ethics Review Board from 5 to 7 members. This membership increase is in accordance with the increase in the membership of the Las Vegas City Council from 5 to 7 members. The Councilmen for Ward 5 and Ward 6 are each eligible to make one appointment and on September 5, 2001, the Ward 5 appointment was made. The Ward 6 appointment was abeyed to December 19, 2001. Ordinance No. 5346 allows for the term of these initial appointments to be for either two or four years.

RECOMMENDATION:

As four of the five Ethics Review Board members had term expirations in 2001 at the time of the Ordinance's implementation, the City Clerk is recommending that the Ward 6 representative be appointed for a two-year term. It is recommended that Councilman Mack appoint a Ward 6 member with term expiration of 2003.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Revised Listing and Authority – Ethics Review Board
2. Board Interest Forms – James Akers, Renn Reed and John Baynes

MOTION:

REESE – ABEYANCE to 2/20/2002 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because of his pending hearing with the Ethics Review Board

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Boards and Commissions
Item 82 – Ethics Review Board

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:34)
2-2052

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS

SENIOR CITIZEN LAW PROJECT ADVISORY BOARD – Lisa Lubeck - Term Expires 1-17-2002

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Board is comprised of 9 members, filling three-year terms, with at least two members over the age of 60. Appointees must represent specific categories: 2 members of Senior Organizations; 2 Senior Service Providers; 3 Private Citizens; and 2 members of the Nevada State Bar. Lisa Lubeck presently fills the Senior Organization category. She has served two terms and is not eligible for reappointment.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the City Council. It will be necessary to appoint a new member to the Senior Organization category to fill this seat.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Current listing and Authority-Senior Citizen Law Project Advisory Board
2. Memorandum from Sheri Cane Vogel recommending appointment of Mary Jo Greenlee

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Motion to APPOINT MARY JO GREENLEE, 901 North Jones Boulevard, Las Vegas, Nevada 89108 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to notify

MINUTES:

SHERI CANE VOGEL appeared to recommend MARY JO GREENLEE, who is presently employed by the Economic Opportunity Board and in charge of adult day care. MS. GREENLEE works very closely with persons with Alzheimer's and dementia, the Martin Luther King Senior Center and foster grandparents program. She would be an outstanding asset on the Board.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Boards and Commissions
Item 83 – Senior Citizen Law Project Advisory Board

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN REESE confirmed that MS. LUBECK has served two terms and is not eligible for reappointment.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stressed that MS. GREENLEE will be a true asset for the Board and has worked very hard in the senior community.

There was no further discussion.

(11:34 – 11:35)

2-2070

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS**

Appointment and Reappointment of Members to the Youth Neighborhood Association Partnership Program (YNAPP) Grant Review Board

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Youth Neighborhood Association Partnership Program Grant Review Board was established by Council action on March 1, 2000. It is a service-learning program encouraging young people to take an active role in their neighborhood. The Board consists of 15 members, eight adults and seven youth. Terms of office are one year and members may be reappointed to consecutive one-year terms. Expiration of this Board occurs yearly on January 21. Members appointed at this meeting will serve from January 21, 2002 to January 21, 2003

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board is appointment by the City Council. The Department of Neighborhood Services recommends the following appointments and reappointments:

Appointments: (Adult Representatives) Elena Milano, Joshua D. Swackhamer; (Youth Representatives) Detrick Sanford, Lindsay Loomis, Emerald Gipson, Justina Amie, LaShawna Ngadiuba, Mason Waite, Andrea Brodsky

Reappointments: (Adult Representatives) Minerva Gomez, Loretta Arrington, Mel Kalagian, Nichole Alberti, Frank Miraglia, Keen Ellsworth

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo explaining purpose of board and proposed appointments and their categories;
2. Current Listing and Authority – Youth Neighborhood Association Partnership Program (YNAPP) Grant Review Board

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

Boards and Commissions

Item 84 – Youth Neighborhood Association Partnership Program (YNAPP) Grant Review Board

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPOINT ELENA MILANO, JOSHUA D. SWACKHAMER, DETRICK SANFORD, LINDSAY LOOMIS, EMERALD GIPSON, JUSTINA AMIE, LaSHAWNA NGADIUBA, MASON WAITE and ANDREA BRODSKY and TO REAPPOINT MINERVA GOMEZ, LORETTA ARRINGTON, MEL KALAGIAN, NICHOLE ALBERTI, FRANK MIRAGLIA, and KEEN ELLSWORTH – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to notify

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:35 – 11:36)

2-2130

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT RECOMMENDING BOARD (CDRB) – Cheryl Kight –
Term Expires 5-21-2002 (Resigned)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Board reviews all Community Development Block Grant and other funding sources and makes recommendations to the City Council on the applicants eligible to receive these funds. On November 21, 2001, the CDRB was established to review the 2002 applications. Ms. Kight was appointed at that time but has informed the Department of Neighborhood Services that she will be unable to serve. Ms. Kight is a business institution representative on this Board and was recommended for appointment by Councilman Reese.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure is appointment by City Council. The Department of Neighborhood Services is recommending the appointment of Mr. Al Jacobsen, at the request of Councilman Reese, to fill this position.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Letter of Resignation - Ms. Cheryl Kight
2. Current Community Development Recommending Board Listing and Authority

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPOINT AL JACOBSEN, 237 North 20th Street, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to notify

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN REESE gave credit to MS. KIGHT for her excellent performance as a member of this Board. MR. JACOBSEN, the husband of KATHY JACOBSEN, is a very active member of one of the City's neighborhood associations and would be an asset to this Board.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

Boards and Commissions

Item 85 – Community Development Recommending Board (CDRB)

MINUTES:

There was no further discussion.

(11:36)

2-2174

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-118 – Levies Assessment re: Special Improvement District No. 1482 – Gowan Road (Metro Park) from Hualapai Way to Jensen Street (\$65,331.88 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) – Ward 4 (Brown) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$65,331.88

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, continuous left turn lane, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalk commercial driveway approach, sewer laterals, and streetlights.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 12/19/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/3/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Mack; First Reading – 11/21/2001; First Publication – 12/7/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-118

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as Ordinance No. 5395 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:36)
2-2218

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-83 – Establishes rules, regulations and business licensing requirements for farmers' markets. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance & Business Services

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill proposes licensing standards and regulatory provisions for farmers' markets as well as for promoters of such activities. In addition to farm product vendors, this bill permits non-farm product vendors in limited numbers and locations at a farmers' market, upon their first obtaining and thereafter maintaining a valid permit. The annual license fee for a farmers' market promoter will be two hundred dollars for a primary location and fifty dollars annually for each additional promoted farmers' market. Non-farm product vendors will be required to pay a ten dollar permit fee each month.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill was held in abeyance to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee meeting by the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

1/14/2002 Recommending Committee Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-115 – Requires certain disclosures in connection with the sale of a residence or residential lot. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill would require a number of disclosures in connection with the sale of a residence or residential lot. Among the items that would have to be disclosed to the buyer are the zoning and land use classifications applicable to the property and surrounding areas, any existing or proposed gaming enterprise districts in the area, existing or proposed assessments, structural defects, and any soils reports regarding the property. Disclosures would have to be documented in writing or by videotape.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill was held in abeyance to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee meeting by the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

1/14/2002 Recommending Committee Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-119 – Annexation No. A-0024-99(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Lone Mountain Road and Hualapai Way; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 207.25 acres; Zoned: R-U (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Lone Mountain Road and Hualapai Way. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Weekly; First Reading – 12/5/2001; First Publication – 12/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-120 – Annexation No. A-0025-99(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Iron Mountain Road and Hualapai Way; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 5.29 acres; Zoned: R-U (County Zoning), U (SC) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Iron Mountain Road and Hualapai Way. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Weekly; First Reading – 12/5/2001; First Publication – 12/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-121 – Annexation No. A-0005-01(A) – Property Location: 150 feet south of Brooks Avenue and 130 feet east of Maverick Street; Petitioned By: Christopher and Sandra Payan; Acreage: 0.51 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located 150 feet south of Brooks Avenue and 130 feet east of Maverick Street. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Weekly; First Reading – 12/5/2001; First Publication – 12/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-122 – Annexation No. A-0018-01(A) – Property Location: On the west side of Smith Street, 250 feet south of Vegas Drive; Petitioned By: Keith Cole; Acreage: 0.49 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Lawrence Weekly

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the west side of Smith Street, 250 feet south of Vegas Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Weekly; First Reading – 12/5/2001; First Publication – 12/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-123 – Annexation No. A-0019-01(A) – Property Location: On the southwest corner of Durango Drive and Fisher Avenue; Petitioned By: Ray and Laurie Newmiller, et al; Acreage: 1.92 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southwest corner of Durango Drive and Fisher Avenue. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Weekly; First Reading – 12/5/2001; First Publication – 12/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-124 – Annexation No. A-0056-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Elkhorn Road, 700 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive; Petitioned By: Pardee Construction Company; Acreage: 2.52 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (L) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Elkhorn Road, 700 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Weekly; First Reading – 12/5/2001; First Publication – 12/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-125 – Annexation No. A-0057-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of Severence Lane, 330 feet west of Tee Pee Lane; Petitioned By: Perma-Bilt Homes; Acreage: 5.07 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (L) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Severence Lane, 330 feet west of Tee Pee Lane. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Weekly; First Reading – 12/5/2001; First Publication – 12/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-126 – Annexation No. A-0072-01(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Coke Street and Racel Street; Petitioned By: Zachary and Cara Clay; Acreage: 1.33 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Coke Street and Racel Street. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Weekly; First Reading – 12/5/2001; First Publication – 12/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-127 – Ordinance Creating Special Improvement District No. 1474 - Rainbow Boulevard (Silverstream Avenue to Smoke Ranch Road) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$251,133.01

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, and water and sewer laterals. The entire project length of Rainbow Boulevard from Silverstream Avenue to Smoke Ranch Road is located in Wards 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6; however the properties involved in the SID are located in Wards 4 and 5.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Weekly; First Reading – 12/5/2001; First Publication – 12/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-128 – Amends the Zoning Code to increase allowable wall heights in residential districts and eliminate the corresponding administrative deviation procedures. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Zoning Code currently establishes a maximum height of six feet for block walls in a rear yard or side yard, but allows the height of a wall to be increased to a maximum of eight feet by obtaining an administrative deviation. A change in State law this past session (AB 553) makes this procedure unavailable. This bill will eliminate the availability of the administrative deviation procedure for block walls, but will amend the Code to authorize rear-yard and side-yard walls to be built to a maximum height of eight feet.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 1/2/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers L.B. McDonald and Weekly; First Reading – 12/5/2001; First Publication – 12/21/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-129 - Provides for the removal and disposition of abandoned shopping carts.
Sponsored by: Councilmen Michael J. McDonald and Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: Undetermined*

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill is intended to address the problem of abandoned shopping carts more comprehensively than in the past. Cart removal by patrons will continue to be prohibited, but, in addition, the responsibility for cart retrieval will be placed on the stores that provide shopping carts. The bill will allow stores to retrieve their own carts, either upon notice or in connection with an ongoing retrieval program, but will also give the City the option of retrieving abandoned carts and charging fees and penalties relating to removal and storage. The City has sought input from the affected parties and prepared a Business Impact Statement based upon an earlier version prepared for Bill No. 2001-5.

*Potential annual costs to the City will depend on compliance, with costs to be partially offset by fees and penalties.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill was held in abeyance to the 1/14/2002 Recommending Committee meeting by the 12/17/2001 Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

1/14/2002 Recommending Committee Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-130 – Annexation No. A-0025-00(A) – Property Location: On the southeast corner of Azure Drive and Tenaya Way; Petitioned By: Serene Investments; Acreage: 1.46 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (R) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Azure Drive and Tenaya Way. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-130 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

12/31/2001 Recommending Committee at 10:00 a.m.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC noted that this year the City produced 40% more ordinances than last year. He thanked CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED for drafting those ordinances.

(11:38 – 11:42)

2-2265

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-131 – Annexation No. A-0006-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Brooks Avenue, approximately 100 feet west of Jones Boulevard; Petitioned By: Kenneth and Kathryn Cypert; Acreage: 1.12 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Brooks Avenue, approximately 100 feet west of Jones Boulevard. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-131 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

12/31/2001 Recommending Committee at 10:00 a.m.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

(11:38 – 11:42)

2-2265

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-132 – Annexation No. A-0016-01(A) – Property Location: On the west side of Rio Vista Street, approximately 210 feet south of Azure Drive; Petitioned By: Nannette Prlina; Acreage: 0.52 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the west side of Rio Vista Street, approximately 210 feet south of Azure Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-132 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

12/31/2001 Recommending Committee at 10:00 a.m.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

(11:38 – 11:42)

2-2265

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-133 – Annexation No. A-0017-01(A) – Property Location: On the west side of Quadrel Street, approximately 170 feet north of Hickam Avenue; Petitioned By: John and Lorinda Fowler; Acreage: 0.63 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the west side of Quadrel Street, approximately 170 feet north of Hickam Avenue. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-133 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

12/31/2001 Recommending Committee at 10:00 a.m.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

(11:38 – 11:42)

2-2265

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-134 – Annexation No. A-0048-01(A) – Property Location: On the southeast corner of Hickam Avenue and Juliano Road; Petitioned By: Fiona Roberts and Carl Pappalardo; Acreage: 2.58 acres; Zoned: R-E (ROI to RNP1) (County Zoning), U (DR) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Hickam Avenue and Juliano Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-134 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

12/31/2001 Recommending Committee at 10:00 a.m.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

(11:38 – 11:42)

2-2265

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-135 – Annexation No. A-0050-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Ahey Road, 280 feet west of Buffalo Drive; Petitioned By: Nevada Homes Group; Acreage: 2.63 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (L) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Ahey Road, 280 feet west of Buffalo Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 11, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

NOTE: The proposed use for this property is a sixteen-unit single-family detached villa retirement community.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-135 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

12/31/2001 Recommending Committee at 10:00 a.m.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

(11:38 – 11:42)

2-2265

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-136 – Annexation No. A-0053-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Farm Road, approximately 670 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive; Petitioned By: Mark Sharp, et al.; Acreage: 5.07 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (L) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Farm Road, approximately 670 feet east of Grand Canyon Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-136 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

12/31/2001 Recommending Committee at 10:00 a.m.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

(11:38 – 11:42)

2-2265

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-137 – Annexation No. A-0055-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of Deer Springs Way, approximately 660 feet west of Fort Apache Road; Petitioned By: Reginald and Alfrieda Scott; Acreage: 5.05 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (L-TC) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Deer Springs Way, approximately 660 feet west of Fort Apache Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-137 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

12/31/2001 Recommending Committee at 10:00 a.m.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

(11:38 – 11:42)

2-2265

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-138 – Annexation No. A-0064-01(A) – Property Location: On the south side of Gowan Road, approximately 660 feet east of Durango Drive; Petitioned By: A & A Mountanos 1999 Revocable Living Trust; Acreage: 2.66 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (ML) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Gowan Road, approximately 660 feet east of Durango Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-138 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

12/31/2001 Recommending Committee at 10:00 a.m.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

(11:38 – 11:42)

2-2265

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-139 – Amends the Town Center Development Standards Manual as it relates to residential development. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On November 7, 2001, the Council adopted a revised version of the Town Center Development Standards Manual by means of Bill No. 2001-100. As originally introduced, the revision included changes relating to both commercial and residential development, but the Council voted to adopt only the changes related to commercial development and to have the residential changes brought back later to respond to concerns raised by the development community. The residential elements were presented to the Council on December 5, 2001, and are now brought back in ordinance form for formal adoption.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Bill No. 2001-139
2. Incorporated Amendments to the Town Center Development Standards Manual

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

12/31/2001 Recommending Committee at 10:00 a.m.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

(11:38 – 11:42)

2-2265

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-140 – Conforms the City's campaign contribution and campaign expenditure reporting requirements to those of State law. Sponsored by: Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Municipal Code's definitions and treatment of campaign contribution and campaign expenditures have differed from those described in the Nevada Revised Statutes. This bill will amend the Code to make the definitions and reporting requirements consistent with those of State law.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-140

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

12/31/2001 Recommending Committee at 10:00 a.m.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

(11:38 – 11:42)

2-2265

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-141 – Adopts the Transportation Trails Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will adopt the Transportation Trails Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. It will replace and supersede any corresponding element that has been carried over from the City's General Plan adopted in 1992.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Bill No. 2001-141 (including incorporated documents)
2. Incorporated Master Plan Transportation Trails Element

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

12/31/2001 Recommending Committee at 10:00 a.m.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

(11:38 – 11:42)

2-2265

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-142 – Adopts the Recreation Trails Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan.
Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will adopt the Recreation Trails Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. It will replace and supersede any corresponding element that has been carried over from the City's General Plan adopted in 1992.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Bill No. 2001-142
2. Incorporated Master Plan Recreation Trails Element

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

12/31/2001 Recommending Committee at 10:00 a.m.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

(11:38 – 11:42)

2-2265

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-143 – Adopts special sign standards applicable to a portion of the Downtown Casino Overlay District. Sponsored by: Councilmen Lawrence Weekly and Gary Reese

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will adopt sign standards and procedures for a special sub-district within the Downtown Casino Overlay District. The proposed sub-district includes the area bounded by Ogden Avenue on the north, Las Vegas Boulevard on the east, Carson Avenue on the south, and Main Street on the west. The standards and procedures will both allow and encourage neon and animated signage consistent with the City's plans for the area.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-143

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

12/31/2001 Recommending Committee at 10:00 a.m.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

(11:38 – 11:42)

2-2265

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-144 – Annexation No. A-0045-99(A) – Property Location: On the northeast corner of Lone Mountain Road and Valdez Street; Petitioned By: Eagle Dancer, L.L.C.; Acreage: 16.31 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northeast corner of Lone Mountain Road and Valdez Street. The annexation is at the request of the property owners' predecessor-in-interest. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (January 25, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

NOTE: A single-family subdivision has been developed on this site.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-144 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

12/31/2001 Recommending Committee at 10:00 a.m.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

(11:38 – 11:42)

2-2265

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-145 – Increases the compensation payable to members of the Planning Commission as authorized by State law. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$4,400☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Planning & Dev./Curr. Planning☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

During this year's session, the Nevada Legislature adopted a bill authorizing local governments to increase from \$50 to \$80 per meeting the amount of compensation payable to planning commissioners. This bill will implement that change for the City's Planning Commissioners.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-145

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

12/31/2001 Recommending Committee at 10:00 a.m.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

(11:38 – 11:42)

2-2265

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-146 – Levies Assessment re: Special Improvement District No. 1469 – 4th Street (Washington Avenue to Adams Avenue) (\$50,771.11 – Capital Projects Fund – Special Assessments) – Ward 5 (Weekly) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$50,771.11

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund – Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb, gutter, sidewalk, driveway approach and streetlights.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-146

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

12/31/2001 Recommending Committee at 10:00 a.m.

1/2/2002 Council Agenda

(11:38 – 11:42)

2-2265

THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 11:42 A.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

None required

MINUTES:

There were no items brought forward and no discussion.

(1:03 – 1:04)

4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Public hearing on local improvement district regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1463 – Bonanza Village Subdivision – Security Wall (\$824,698.56 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$824,698.56

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The installation of a security block wall, varying in height from six to eight feet, with emergency access gates. The wall is located along the perimeter of the Bonanza Village Subdivision bounded on the north by Vegas Dr., on the east by Martin L. King Blvd., on the south by Washington Ave., and on the west by Tonopah Dr.

RECOMMENDATION:

Public Hearing only; no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Notice of Public Hearing
2. Submitted after final agenda: Letters of objection (6) from residents
3. Submitted at meeting: Petition requesting abeyance – 22 signatures
4. Submitted at meeting: Letters of objection (2) from residents

MOTION:

GOODMAN – ABEYANCE to 26/2002 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD GOECKE, Director of Public Works, advised that the purpose of the public hearing was to hear comment on the final assessments related to the Bonanza Village security wall project. The tentative assessments sent out several months ago were \$824,698 establishing the per parcel assessment at \$4,908.92. Although the project costs of \$1,134,141 were much higher than anticipated, the final assessments will remain the same.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001

Public Works

Item 118 – SID 1463

MINUTES – Continued:

TERRY COX, 1100 Ralston Drive, requested that residents be given additional time to prepare for the public hearing. He submitted to the City Clerk a petition from 22 residents stating the same.

MAYOR GOODMAN read into the record the petition requesting a continuance and/or abeyance of the hearing regarding assessments, in order to have more time to determine the legal sufficiency of their objections which might otherwise be waived. He confirmed with CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC that any protest or objection not raised at the public hearing would be waived. Based on that confirmation, MAYOR GOODMAN moved to abey the item to 1/16/2002, in order to give everybody time to get their position straight and come before the Council. Being a public hearing, MAYOR GOODMAN announced that he would prefer that all discussions take place on 1/16/2002. MR. GOECKE suggested that anyone present who might not be able to return on 1/16/2002 should be permitted to speak at this time.

LAWRENCE ITAK, Trustee for one of the residences in Bonanza Village, protested that the assessment is grossly unfair to that property owner. He had submitted a protest petition to MIKE THOMPSON with the City, but will return on 1/16/2002 to speak again.

DAN CONTRERAS, resident of Bonanza Village, submitted opposition letters from his neighbors for the record, but stated he would return to speak on 1/16/2002.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked if 1/16/2002 would be sufficient for the residents to share the information and responses obtained during a meeting with MR. GOECKE, MR. THOMPSON and other City staff. MR. CONTRERAS indicated that concrete answers were needed rather than just the options. Ultimately the matter rests with what the City Council decides. He requested that a public hearing notification be posted at the entryway of Bonanza Village to notify the residents that 1/16/2002 would be their last opportunity to address the issue.

BRYAN SCOTT, Deputy City Attorney, assured the Council that every resident within the subdivision is given proper notice. MAYOR GOODMAN pointed out that extra notification would be appropriate given the contentious nature of this matter and considering MR. CONTRERAS' comments. MAYOR GOODMAN then directed that the item be placed on the 2/6/2002 agenda. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY clarified for those present that they would receive a mailed notification of the 2/6/2002 public hearing as well as the posted notice. He asked that the residents present help communicate the hearing date to other residents.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Public Works
Item 118 – SID 1463

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed that the entryway be posted with the new public hearing date.

(1:04 – 1:12)

4-20

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of dangerous building located at 4840 West Charleston Blvd. PROPERTY OWNER: MCDONALDS CORP. c/o #027/0003 - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$2,495.40☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by securing, boarding and cleaning the vacant building; securing the fence on the west side of the property next to the 7-11 east block wall; removing all trash, debris, bottles, boxes, blankets and scattered litter from inside the fence, along the fence line, in the right-of-way and around the dumpster area; removing the dumpsters; removing all high weeds and dead vegetation from the fence line; and posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K. O. Construction, Inc. to abate the problem.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,495.40 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 119 – 4840 West Charleston Blvd

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, advised that the condition of the property, previously a McDonald's, was now closed, and represented a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired the low bidder, K.O. Construction, to abate the problem. The property was corrected by securing, boarding and cleaning the vacant building, securing the fence on the west side of the property next to the 7-Eleven and removing all trash, debris, bottles, blankets and scattered litter. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,495.40 and ordered a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North Nineteenth Street, stressed that these properties should be boarded up. There should be a time limit on how long they can be boarded.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD thanked staff for getting to this property. This has been a long process and represents a major goal relating to redevelopment efforts. The difficulty is the homeless who do not want to utilize a shelter. The business to the east repeatedly complained as their customers were accosted. Seniors at a nearby center were also harassed. Redevelopment cannot occur without enforcement. Code Enforcement has done a great job. He and MAYOR GOODMAN discussed that it was a surprise that McDONALD'S, normally a good corporate citizen, allowed this to occur.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:12 – 1:17)

4-290

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of dangerous building located at 708 Delta Street. PROPERTY OWNER: EQUICREDIT CORPORATION OF NV - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,968.70☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by securing, boarding, and cleaning the vacant house; removing all litter, trash and debris from all yards, from inside the house, and from the roof; repairing the rear wood fence; removing the illegal plywood porch/patio addition from the rear of the house; and posting a "No Trespassing" sign on the property. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K. O. Construction, Inc. to abate the problem.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,968.70 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 120 – 708 Delta Street

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired the low bidder, K.O. Construction, to abate the problem. The property was corrected by securing, boarding and cleaning the vacant house, removing all litter, trash and debris from all yards, inside the house and from the roof, repairing the rear wood fence and removing illegal plywood. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,968.70 and order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North Nineteenth Street, questioned what forum the City could use to notify lenders regarding the property. Perhaps the properties could be given to Housing for Humanity or a similar organizations or even sold for low-interest resale. DEPUTY CITY MANAGER SELBY responded that the City is researching a way in which to facilitate the transfer for these properties to community development corporations for rehabilitation and resale. MR. FARLOW strongly supported such a program and MAYOR GOODMAN concurred.

MR. SEMENZA thanked the Council for continued support which gives staff the strength to go out and do what the Council wants done. MAYOR GOODMAN expressed appreciation for staff's efforts in this regard.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:17 – 1:20)

4-430

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

CONSENT AGENDA

EXTENSION OF TIME - SPECIAL USE PERMIT

- 121 U-0133-99(2) - Farm & Alexander Properties, Limited Liability Company
- 122 U-0134-99(2) - Farm & Alexander Properties, Limited Liability Company

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

DIRECTOR'S BUSINESS - PUBLIC HEARING

- 123 DB-0012-01 - City of Las Vegas

MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH RESIDENTIAL
PLANNED DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING

- 124 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0016-98(4) - William Lyon Homes

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING

- 125 Z-0025-01(1) - Charleston Auto Parts Profit Sharing Trust on behalf of William Lyon Homes
- 126 Z-0072-01(1) - Matonovich Family Trust on behalf of KB Home Nevada, Inc.
- 127 Z-0014-62(1) - D & W, Inc. on behalf of Nextel Communications
- 128 Z-0076-98(24) - Montecito Downtown, Limited Liability Company

REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING

- 129 V-0069-95(4) - K & C Property Management Corporation on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising, Inc.

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING

- 130 V-0072-01 - Ponciano and Margarita Vega
- 131 V-0073-01 - Free Enterprise Institute, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Great American Capital

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0073-01 - PUBLIC
HEARING

- 132 Z-0121-87(1) - Free Enterprise Institute, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Great American Capital

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two

INDEX

City Council Meeting of December 19, 2001

- VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
- 133 **V-0078-01** - MBP Industries LC on behalf of Ultimate Collision
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0078-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 134 **SD-0055-01** - MBP Industries LC on behalf of Ultimate Collision
- SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO V-0078-01 and SD-0055-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 135 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0124-01** - MBP Industries, LC on behalf of Ultimate Collision
- REQUIRED SIX MONTH REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 136 **U-0048-01(1)** - Plaza North on behalf of Don and Claudia Gloude
- REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 137 **U-0052-95(2)** - Amber Investment Company on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising Company
- 138 **U-0002-98(2)** - SG Properties, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising Company
- SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 139 **U-0135-01** - Farm & Alexander Properties, Limited Liability Company
- 140 **U-0136-01** - Farm & Alexander Properties, Limited Liability Company
- 141 **U-0137-01** - Jorge Melendez on behalf of Alpine Fixtures
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 142 **Z-0070-01** - Love Family Trust, et al on behalf of Spring Mountain Ranch, Limited Liability Company
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0070-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 143 **Z-0070-01(1)** - Love Family Trust, et al on behalf of Spring Mountain Ranch, Limited Liability Company

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - U-0133-99(2) - FARM & ALEXANDER PROPERTIES LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for an Extension of Time of an approved Special Use Permit FOR THE SALE OF BEER AND WINE FOR OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED CONVENIENCE STORE on property located adjacent to the southeast corner of Alexander Road and Tenaya Way (APN: 138-10-516-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

NOTE: THIS ITEM IS CONSIDERED TO BE ROUTINE IN NATURE.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED Item 121 [U-0133-99(2)] and Item 122 [U-0134-99(2)] subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and WEEKLY not voting

MINUTES:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

(1:33 – 1:35)

4-980

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 121 – U-0133-99(2)

CONDITIONS:

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one year of this approval, the Special Use Permit shall be void unless another Extension of Time is granted.
2. Compliance with all Conditions of Approval of Special Use Permit U-0133-99.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - U-0134-99(2) - FARM & ALEXANDER PROPERTIES LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for an Extension of Time of an approved Special Use Permit FOR GASOLINE SALES IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED CONVENIENCE STORE on property located adjacent to the southeast corner of Alexander Road and Tenaya Way (APN: 138-10-516-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

NOTE: THIS ITEM IS CONSIDERED TO BE ROUTINE IN NATURE.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED Item 121 [U-0133-99(2)] and Item 122 [U-0134-99(2)] subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and WEEKLY not voting

MINUTES:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

(1:33 – 1:35)

4-980

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 122 – U-0134-99(2)

CONDITIONS:

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one year of this approval, the Special Use Permit shall be void unless another Extension of Time is granted.
2. Compliance with all Conditions of Approval of Special Use Permit U-0134-99.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

DIRECTOR'S BUSINESS - PUBLIC HEARING - DB-0012-01 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS -
Discussion and possible Action on the Rancho Charleston Land Use Study and Strategic Plan, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (3-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
2. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because he lives in the area and has business interests in the area as well and WEEKLY not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, summarized the 7/5/2001 meeting where Council gave direction in response to neighbors' concerns with land use changes in the area of US95, Sahara, Valley View and I-15, excluding the medical district. The Council imposed a six-month development moratorium within the study area from 6/20/2001 through 12/20/2001. Staff was asked to develop a land use plan for the area, working with a steering committee of concerned residents. Today staff would like to recognize PAUL HEJMANOSKI, MARIO GARCIA, MIKE KERCH, DR. ETSON SKIP PARKER, and JUDGE DONALD MOSLEY from the steering committee. This study could not have been done without TIM WHITWRIGHT, LISA LOPEZ and ANTHONY WILLIS of the Neighborhood Services Department. He then turned the presentation over to SCOTT ALBRIGHT, lead for Planning & Development with regard to the study.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 123 – DB-0012-01

MINUTES - Continued:

MR. ALBRIGHT briefly outlined the process of forming the 10-member steering committee, four committee meetings and three essential goals established for the study. The major area of concern involved any type of traffic issue, especially in light of the intensity of the two hospitals within the medical district and the demand being created for office space. Another big issue was the on-site nuisances created by development such as signs, lighting, hours of operation, parking and so forth. The focus was on neighborhood preservation and protecting against commercial intrusion into those neighborhoods.

The plan includes two recommendations. First it was proposed that the General Plan pertaining to the large areas that are currently developed at rural densities and are in conformance with state law and the neighborhood's desire to preserve the rural lifestyle be amended. As a result, the Rancho Nevada Estates, Mountainview tract, Rancho Palomino, along Ashby Avenue and the McNeal tract and McNeal Estates area, and Westwood Park and Scotch 80s areas that currently allow up to 3.5 units per acre would be changed to Desert Rural with a maximum of 2 units per acre.

The second recommendation would involve changing the Charleston corridor and a portion of the Rancho corridor from service-commercial to an office designation. Both staff and the steering committee feel that this would be the best way to pursue rural preservation but provide viable land use along these particular corridors. There was initially a concern with Rancho Drive, south of Charleston, which were considered during the study. A suggestion was made to turn the vacant lots into green space, but that was offset by issues with cost, the nature of the green space and whether there was a need for such space. The current recommendation is to keep those residential as they currently exist. Office use was not even a consideration during discussions.

The recommendation is also for a change to office use along Charleston Boulevard between I-15 and Rancho as an expression of the best way to preserve the neighborhood. Both staff and the committee did realize that there are existing businesses that would be impacted by the recommendation.

The plan was unanimously endorsed by the Planning Commission, although a concern was raised that the study did not go far enough and should have included traffic calming proposals and open space assessment. The Planning Commission also desired to pursue further planning at the grass-roots level via the neighborhood planning process. Both Planning and Neighborhood Services staff would help facilitate such a plan expansion if directed by the Council. The intent is to bring forward a General Plan Amendment at the first quarterly meeting in 2002, again subject to approval by the Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 123 – DB-0012-01

MINUTES - Continued:

Staff feels this is a very workable and reasonable document that addresses land use. There has been a City Manager's Information Report with recommendations regarding the issue on front yard maintenance. COUNCILMAN McDONALD pointed out that there is a new bill coming forward setting standards regarding front yard maintenance as well. This should also be taken back to the neighborhoods for their input.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE thanked everyone for their efforts. COUNCILMAN McDONALD also recognized those involved with this process, including MARY CLEVEN, RICK HENRY and DOUG RANKIN out of his office who worked very hard on this plan. This plan shows the commitment of the Council to preserve neighborhoods, especially historic neighborhoods.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

After the discussion and vote, MAYOR GOODMAN recognized and thanked JUDGE MOSLEY for the helpful and informative card sent out by the Judge to those in the neighborhood. COUNCILMAN McDONALD also recognized that JUDGE MOSLEY has been active in the system since before he took office for Ward 1 in 1995.

(1:35 – 1:45)

4-1040

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - MAJOR MODIFICATION - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH RESIDENTIAL PLANNED DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0016-98(4) - WILLIAM LYON HOMES** - Request for a Major Modification to the Iron Mountain Ranch Residential Planned Development Master Plan TO REMOVE APPROXIMATELY 40 ACRES FROM THE OVERALL PLAN AREA at the northeast corner of the intersection of Jones Boulevard and Grand Teton Drive (APN: 125-12-401-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre), [PROPOSED: R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

12

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – STRIKE– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY CHRIS KAEMPFER, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, and GREG BORGEL, 300 South 4th Street, represented this application.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 124 – Z-0016-98(4)

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 124 [Z-0016-98(4)] and Item 125 [Z-0025-01(1)] was held under Item 125 [Z-0025-01(1)].

(1:35 – 1:45)

4-1040

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Resolution of Intent (Z-0016-98) on this property shall be expunged.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0025-01(1)** -
CHARLESTON AUTO PARTS PROFIT SHARING TRUST ON BEHALF OF WILLIAM LYON HOMES - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 70-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on approximately 40 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of Grand Teton Drive and Jones Boulevard (APN: 125-12-401-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, PROPOSED: R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	1
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

3. Location Map
4. Conditions For This Application
5. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 1 as follows:

1. That a minimum 25-foot wide trail be established along the north side of Grand Teton Drive *and constructed to meet the Iron Mountain Ranch approved standards.*

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY CHRIS KAEMPFER, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, and GREG BORGEL, 300 South 4th Street, represented this application. MR. BORGEL explained that consideration was given to the concerns of the neighbors and the developers and when staff recommended a common lot, the applicant was agreeable to providing extensive setback landscaping up and down Jones Boulevard inclusive of the meandering sidewalk.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 125 – Z-0025-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

BEVERLY BLASKEY, 8020 Bradley Road, having worked extensively on the Iron Mountain Plan, commended the City Council, the residents and the developers for their contributions to this proposed project and expressed full support. COUNCILMAN MACK agreed and thanked TED CONNALLY for this great project, stating that they did a wonderful job of working with the community.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 124 [Z-0016-98(4)] and Item 125 [Z-0025-01(1)] was held under Item 125 [Z-0025-01(1)].

(1:35 – 1:45)

4-1040

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. That a minimum 20-foot wide equestrian trail be established along the north side of Grand Teton Drive. The trail shall consist of those components of the Recreational Trails Element adopted by the Planning Commission, including the following:

A six-foot sidewalk shall abut the street curb and be located within the street right-of-way. A minimum 7.5-foot wide equestrian trail path shall be provided within the remaining 14 feet of the trail. The trail shall consist of decomposed granite or chat, have a cross slope of no more than a two percent grade directed toward the direction of drainage flow, have a longitudinal grade with no more than a three-to-one slope, have a longitudinal grade with no more than a two-to-one slope at the ends of the trail segment, be protected from potential washout, and include a fence to separate the fence from the sidewalk.

2. Approval of a Modification [(Z-0016-98(11))] to be added to the Iron Mountain Ranch Master Plan, and approval of a Rezoning (Z-0022-01) to R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development – 2 Units per Acre).

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 125 – Z-0025-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. The trail corridor and landscape areas shall be mapped as common lots and maintained by a Homeowner's organization.
4. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
5. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 25 feet to front-loaded garages, 20-feet to side-loaded garages, 20 feet side (aggregate), 15 feet on the corner side, and 35 feet in the rear.
6. The Iron Mountain Ranch theme wall shall be constructed in conjunction with development.
7. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
8. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

9. Submit a Petition of Vacation for easterly 10 feet of Jones Boulevard adjacent to this site. An Order of Vacation shall record prior to the recordation of a Final Map for this site.
10. The Tentative Map and Final Map for this subdivision must show appropriate public street dedications in accordance with Standard Drawings #201.1 and #245.1 for dedicated right turn lanes.
11. A deviation from City Streetlighting Standards shall be allowed on Jones Boulevard to allow the streetlights to be the same style fixtures as used in Summerlin and to allow the wattage to be reduced to 100 Watts; however, the lighting shall meet City Standard spacing requirements as required by the Department of Public Works. All other perimeter streets shall meet City Standard lighting requirements.
12. Provide pedestrian walkway easements for all public sidewalks not located within the public street right-of-way.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 125 – Z-0025-01(1)

CONDITIONS:

13. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the Jones Boulevard and Grand Teton Boulevard public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.
14. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right(s)-of-way on Grand Teton Boulevard and Jones Boulevard adjacent to this site.
15. Provide a public sewer stub to the northern boundary of this site to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer concurrent with development of this site. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
16. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed street layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated access entry drives shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
17. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
18. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, multi-use trails, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections. The CC&R's for the Homeowner's Association shall be submitted to and approved by the City Attorney's Office.
19. Comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-25-01, the approved Master Traffic Access Analysis, the approved Master Drainage Study and all other site-related actions.
20. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0072-01(1) - MATONOVICH FAMILY TRUST ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA, INC. -** Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 32-LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 5.21 Acres adjacent to the southeast corner of Campbell Road and Alexander Road (APN: 138-08-101-005 & 006), U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED: R-PD6 (Residential Planned Development - 6 Units per Acre), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSSELL SKUSE, Tetra Tech Engineering, 401 North Buffalo Drive, Suite #100, summarized the efforts along the Alexander corridor. It was discovered that the landscaping requirement is for six feet and he requested that the four feet exceeding that be eliminated and put into either the lots or open space. Other than that, he concurred with staff's conditions.

COUNCILMAN BROWN confirmed with ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning & Development, that the reduction would be governed by code and would not require a specific added condition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 126 – Z-0072-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(1:45 – 1:46)
4-1390

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning (Z-0072-01) to an R-PD6 (Residential Planned Development – 6 Units per Acre) Zoning District.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 18 feet to the front of the house, 18 feet to the front of the garage, 5 feet on the side, 10 feet on the corner side, and 15 feet in the rear.
5. The landscape plan shall be revised prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit to reflect minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 30 feet on-center plus one additional tree, and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters.
6. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
7. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
8. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 126 – Z-0072-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

9. The applicant shall have constructed a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials, along the side and rear property lines. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
10. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
11. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

12. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed street layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first.
13. The design and layout of all onsite circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
14. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-72-01 and all other site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0014-62(1) - D & W INC. ON BEHALF OF NEXTEL COMMUNICATIONS** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR THE CO-LOCATION OF ANTENNA ARRAYS ON AN EXISTING 60 FOOT TALL WIRELESS COMMUNICATION MONOPOLE TOWER on property located at 232 North Jones Boulevard (APN: 138-25-404-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:**M. McDONALD – ABEYANCE to 1/16/2002 – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

LAURENA ANDERSON, Nextel Communications, 750 East Warm Springs Road #107, advised that the staff recommendation and approval was amended at the Planning Commission meeting based upon the redesign. Based upon that, she concurred with the conditions. MAYOR GOODMAN confirmed that that was his understanding as well.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 127 – Z-0014-62(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD and CHRIS GLORE, Planning and Development, discussed that the staff recommendation was based upon the amended elevations that reflected the same configuration as that of the existing monopole. Therefore, there would be no additional impact on the neighborhood. COUNCILMAN McDONALD advised MS. ANDERSON that he would hold the item in abeyance since no one in his office had had the opportunity to review the request. He confirmed with ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, that the new cell tower bill is anticipated to be introduced at the City Council meeting of 1/16/2002. MS. ANDERSON stressed that she had attempted to contact MR. RANKIN but would accept the abeyance.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:46 – 1:50)

4-1460

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to the revised elevations submitted at the November 1, 2001 Planning Commission meeting.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
5. The communications monopole and its associated equipment and facility shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the communications monopole and its associated equipment and facility.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0076-98(24)** -
MONTECITO DOWNTOWN, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Site
Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 361,560 SQUARE FOOT RETAIL
COMMERCIAL CENTER on 38.78 Acres adjacent to the southeast corner of El Capitan Way
and Deer Springs Way (APN: 125-20-701-001), T-C (Town Center) Zone [UC-TC (Urban
Center Mixed Use - Town Center) Land Use Designation], Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends
DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****6****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL,
subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Montecito Town Center Streetscape Plan, Section, and Vignette

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition Nos. 4, 8, 9, 17, 18
and 22 as follows:

4. The site plan shall be revised to depict all building ‘pushed up’ to the street frontages with the parking areas moved to the interior of the site to create a more urban feel *or obtain a waiver from the Town Center Development Standards.*
8. Landscape islands, a minimum five (5) feet in width, shall be provided throughout the parking lots, every six (6) parking spaces. Such double-sided islands shall each contain a minimum of two (2) 24” box shade trees (which have a minimum 1-1/2” diameter caliber at 4 feet above the root ball at the time of planting) and four (4) 5-gallon shrubs per tree. *Landscape islands are not required along areas of the parking lot that are adjacent to a landscape area at least five (5) feet in depth.*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 128 – Z-0076-98(24)

MOTION – Continued:

9. *All illustrative decorative paving, including square and round intersections, pedestrian plazas and entry plazas, shall be designed and constructed as shown on the submitted plans.*
17. Dedicate 60 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Durango Drive, 40 feet for Riley Street, 40 feet for Deer Springs Way, *30 feet* for Rome Boulevard, including one half of a cul-de-sac bulb for the terminus of Rome Boulevard, a 25 foot radius at the northwest corner of Rome Boulevard and Riley Street, a 25 foot radius at the southwest corner of Riley Street and Deer Springs Way and a 54 foot radius on the southeast corner of Deer Springs Way and Durango Drive prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. All public street dedications shall comply with all applicable Town Center Standards. Also, dedicate appropriate public street right-of-way in accordance with Standard Drawings #201.1 and #245.1 for dedicated right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes, and Standard Drawings #234.1, #234.2 and #234.3 for bus turnouts, in accordance with the Traffic Impact Analysis, once approved.
18. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving (if legally able) on Deer Springs Way, Riley Street, Durango Drive and Rome Boulevard. Also, terminate Rome Boulevard adjacent to this site in a manner acceptable to the Department of Public Works and construct public street improvements for the approved terminus of Rome Boulevard concurrent with development of this site *if legally able*. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.
22. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend oversized public sewer in Durango Drive to Rome Boulevard, in Rome Boulevard from Durango Drive to Riley Street, in Riley Street from Rome Boulevard to Deer Springs Way; *unless an alternative public sewer service plan is submitted to and approved by the City Engineer*; coordinate final design and sewer path with the new Frontage Road alignment to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. All required public sewer easements, if any, necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system shall be provided to the City prior to City approval of sewer construction plans, or the issuance of any offsite permits. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site.

And deleting Condition Nos. 16 and 19.

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because of a partnership relationship with one of the partners in this project

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 128 – Z-0076-98(24)

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, representing Montecito Downtown, LLC, explained that the applicant will provide the landscaping buffer along Riley Street and the pedestrian walkway details fronting the Center.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, inquired if the applicant plans to rework any portion of the service area.

CHRIS GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Development, explained that one of the conditions that addresses the elevation of the back area specifies that it must match the overall design treatment of the front elevation.

CAROL LE DUC, 7575 Rome Boulevard, was pleased that the Montecito representatives took time to meet with the residents. She questioned whether staff's recommendations regarding the height issues along Deer Springs had been incorporated into the conditions. Both COUNCILMAN MACK and MR. GLORE responded that there were no height limitations conditioned. MS. LE DUC concurred that this project is upscale and very nice.

Representing several residents, BRIAN KASKEY, 8324 Fun Metal Court, endorsed the Montecito project.

ATTORNEY FIORENTINO affirmed that there is a condition that addresses the enhancement of the rear elevations as well as the enhancement of buffering along Riley Street and inside the interior.

Prior to making his motion, COUNCILMAN MACK noted that the density was not exactly what the residents preferred, but instead what the market dictates.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:50 – 2:00)

4-1590

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 128 – Z-0076-98(24)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. The site plan shall be revised to depict compliance with the Title 19A.10.010 requirements for off-street parking for the site uses prior to the issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.
3. The site plan shall be revised to depict compliance with the Title 19A.10.010 requirements for handicap accessible spaces, and compliance with the Title 19A.10.020 requirements for loading spaces.
4. The site plan shall be revised to depict all building ‘pushed up’ to the street frontages with the parking areas moved to the interior of the site to create a more urban feel.
5. The landscape plan shall be revised to depict compliance with the requirement of Title 19A.06.110 regarding 20 percent of the gross site acreage in open space, recreation area, pedestrian/bikeway facilities, and landscaped areas in public rights-of-way.
6. The landscape plan shall depict full compliance with the Town Center Parkway Arterial standards including a five (5’) foot wide amenity zone and a nine and a half (9’6”) foot wide sidewalk. Within the amenity zone is required a minimum of two Mexican Fan Palm trees planted seventy feet on-center offset thirty-five feet from a canopy tree planted seventy feet on-center as depicted in Town Center Development Standards Manual (figure 1, page 47). The amenity zone is also to include decomposed granite, ground cover, and hardscape areas that equal 25% of the amenity zone to contain site furniture. The median islands within Durango Drive/El Capitan Way are required to be a minimum width of fifteen feet landscaped, except where necessary cuts are located, containing Mexican Fan Palm trees planted thirty-five feet on-center, decomposed granite, five-gallon ground cover, and hardscape areas. The Town Center Development Standards also require a pavement ‘accent’ pattern be provided at each street intersection. The sidewalk shall be constructed of the required finish. The landscape plan shall also depict the required accent paving.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 128 – Z-0076-98(24)

CONDITIONS:

7. The landscape plan shall depict full compliance with the Town Center Arterial Road Standards along Deer Springs Way that includes a three (3') foot wide amenity zone and a five (5') foot wide sidewalk. The landscape plan shall also portray full compliance with the Town Center Collector Road Standards along Riley Street and Rome Boulevard that includes a five (5') foot wide amenity zone and a seven (7') foot wide sidewalk. The amenity zones are required to include canopy trees planted thirty feet on-center. The amenity zone also includes decomposed granite, ground cover, and hardscape areas that equal 25% of the amenity zone to contain site furniture. The Town Center Development Standards also require a pavement 'accent' pattern be provided at each street intersection.
8. Landscape islands, a minimum five (5) feet in width, shall be provided throughout the parking lots, every six (6) parking spaces. Such double-sided islands shall each contain a minimum of two (2) 24" box shade trees (which have a minimum 1-1/2" diameter caliber at 4 feet above the root ball at the time of planting) and four (4) 5-gallon shrubs per tree.
9. All illustrative decorative paving, including square and round intersections, pedestrian plazas, and entry plazas, shall be designed and constructed as shown on the submitted plans, and such decorative paving shall consist of stamped colored concrete or handset pavers or better.
10. All proposed building elevations shall demonstrate, to the satisfaction of Planning and Development Department staff, side and rear elevations consistent with submitted front elevations, reflecting at a minimum the varied rooflines, and regularly-spaced vertical facade elements.
11. In order to enhance the aesthetics of the rear face of retail buildings 4-8, the elevations shall be revised to show consistency with the front and side elevations.
12. The applicant shall be required to have approved a Master Signage Plan prior to the issuance of any sign permits.
13. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed buildings. Lighting standards within the parking lots shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 128 – Z-0076-98(24)

CONDITIONS:

14. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
15. No utility vault exceeding 27 cubic feet in size may encroach into approved minimum landscape areas along public street frontages. Additionally, no waivers from required landscaping or approved signage standards shall be allowed due to the placement of any utility vaults.
16. In order to enhance the aesthetics of the rear face of retail buildings 4-8, the elevations shall be revised to show consistency with the front and side elevations.

Public Works

17. Dedicate 60 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Durango Drive, 40 feet for Riley Street, 40 feet for Deer Springs Way, 40 feet for Rome Boulevard, including one half of a cul-de-sac bulb for the terminus of Rome Boulevard, a 25 foot radius at the northwest corner of Rome Boulevard and Riley Street, a 25 foot radius at the southwest corner of Riley Street and Deer Springs Way and a 54 foot radius on the southeast corner of Deer Springs Way and Durango Drive prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. All public street dedications shall comply with all applicable Town Center Standards. Also, dedicate appropriate public street right-of-way in accordance with Standard Drawings #201.1 and #245.1 for dedicated right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes, and Standard Drawings #234.1, #234.2 and #234.3 for bus turnouts, in accordance with the Traffic Impact Analysis, once approved.
18. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving (if legally able) on Deer Springs Way, Riley Street, Durango Drive and Rome Boulevard. Also, terminate Rome Boulevard adjacent to this site in a manner acceptable to the Department of Public Works and construct public street improvements for the approved terminus of Rome Boulevard concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.
19. Coordinate with the City Engineer to determine the final alignment of Deer Springs Way prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site; additional dedication and construction requirements to accommodate the final alignment of Deer Springs Way may be required at the time of approval of construction drawings for this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 128 – Z-0076-98(24)

CONDITIONS:

20. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
21. Provide two lanes of paved, legal access to this site prior to occupancy of any units within this development.
22. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend oversized public sewer in Durango Drive to Rome Boulevard, in Rome Boulevard from Durango Drive to Riley Street, in Riley Street from Rome Boulevard to Deer Springs Way; coordinate final design and sewer path with the new Frontage Road alignment to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. All required public sewer easements, if any, necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system shall be provided to the City prior to City approval of sewer construction plans, or the issuance of any offsite permits. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site.
23. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 128 – Z-0076-98(24)

CONDITIONS:

24. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first, as required by the Department of Public Works. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
25. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-76-98 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0069-95(4) - K & C PROPERTY MANAGEMENT CORPORATION ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING, INC. - Required One Year Review of an approved Variance WHICH ALLOWED A 672 SQUARE-FOOT, OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN 625 FEET FROM AN EXISTING OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN WHERE 750 FEET IS THE MINIMUM DISTANCE SEPARATION REQUIRED at 1501 West Sahara Avenue (APN: 162-09-110-003), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KELLEEN COTA, Lamar Outdoor Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, concurred with the staff conditions.

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, stated that he was shocked by the five blank Lamar signs. He requested a list of all the Lamar signs. If a sign does not have something on it, it should be removed. MS. COTA replied that these are new billboards and the company's policy is that all billboards be covered.. She added that at times when an ad copy is removed, high winds make it unsafe to go up on the sign.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 129 – V-0069-95(4)

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(2:00 – 2:02)
4-1980

CONDITIONS:

1. This Variance shall be reviewed in two (2) years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Approval shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
4. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign shall not have more than two faces rendering advertisement; the third (east) face shall mask the support structures and remain advertisement free.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0072-01 - PONCIANO AND MARGARITA VEGA - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW AN EXISTING CARPORT STRUCTURE SIX FEET FROM THE FRONT PROPERTY LINE WHERE 20 FEET IS THE MINIMUM SETBACK REQUIRED located at 1805 South Ninth Street (APN: 162-03-712-021), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at the meeting: Letter of Support from Gabriel Favela
5. Submitted at the meeting: Letter of Support from Sally Ann Adams
6. Submitted at the meeting: Letter of Support from Mr. and Mrs. Jose Vasquez

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JAVIER RUIZ, 3340 Russell, stated that if approved, the carport will be built in a way which does not impact the neighbors. He submitted support letters from the neighbors who would be most affected.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, indicated that six feet is too close to the curb and he opposed the request. COUNCILMAN REESE clarified that the request is not from the curb, but from the front property line. It would be eleven feet from the curb. MR. FARLOW withdrew his opposition. MR. RUIZ pointed out that similar carports already exist in the area.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 130 – V-0072-01

MINUTES – Continued:

CHRIS GLORE, Planning and Development, explained that staff understood that the carport was built without a permit and cited by Building and Safety. MR. RUIZ explained that the applicant had started construction but it is not yet complete. The property owner thought since there were other carports in the area, he would be allowed to do the same and not be required to obtain a permit.

COUNCILMAN REESE agreed that there are similar structures and approval will require a permit to ensure that the structure meets code. However, ignorance of the law is not a justification for breaking the law and that word needs to be gotten out to the public. Hopefully those watching the meeting will understand that they have to get permits to construct anything on their property.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:02 – 2:06)

4-2080

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Variance is not exercised within one (1) year from date of approval by the City Council it will become void unless an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments which are not affected by approval of this Variance must be satisfied.
3. Submit complete plans to the Building and Safety Department for review and permits.
4. If the Building and Safety Department requires removal of the carport, which is the subject of this request, this Variance becomes null and void.

Public Works

5. The existing carport must remain open on all sides such as not to create sight visibility problems.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0073-01 - FREE ENTERPRISE INSTITUTE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF GREAT AMERICAN CAPITAL -
 Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 315 PARKING SPACES WHERE 405 PARKING SPACES ARE REQUIRED on property located adjacent to the southeast corner of Regatta Drive and Breakwater Drive (APN: 138-16-714-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****2****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****99****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda: Letter of Protest from resident
5. Submitted at meeting: Approval Letter from Desert Shores Board of Directors listing provisions of approval.
6. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Desert Shores Community Relations Committee regarding preliminary review of plans.
7. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Desert Shores Community Relations Committee listing an additional roof provision.
8. Submitted at meeting: Petitions of Support with 114 signatures

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions and adding the following condition:

- *Prior to the approval of any building permit for the subject site, the applicant shall obtain an off-site parking agreement in compliance with the applicable requirements*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 131 – V-0073-01

MOTION – Continued:

of Municipal Code Title 19A.10.010(H) demonstrating to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department Director that the minimum offsite parking spaces required by Title 19A.10.010 will be available for the subject synagogue development within the adjacent commercial parcel.

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY RUSSELL ROWE, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner and Renshaw, representing the applicant, introduced Rabbi Shan, Jim Clark of Lanke Side Center and Tim Hoolenbeck, the architect. He explained that the Synagogue is located within Desert Shores and the applicant has requested a parking variance. In a recent study conducted during both the weekday and weekend timeframe, the survey revealed that there was ample parking available within the shopping center. MR. ROWE also disclosed that many of the Synagogue members live in Desert Shores and South Shores and walk to the Synagogue for services.

MR. ROWE affirmed that the Synagogue has been at this location for over six years. The Desert Shores Architectural Review Committee subsequent to the City Council's review and approval, endorsed the architectural design of the building. With a minor modification to the roof, the applicant submitted plans to staff.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, did not feel it was necessary to have additional parking spaces.

DAVID PAYNE, 2500 Monarch Bay, an adjacent residential owner, appeared to endorse this project. He likened the principles and doctrines of the Synagogue to municipality ordinances.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 131 [V-0073-01] and Item 132 [Z-0121-87(1)] was held under Item 131 [V-0073-01].

(2:06 – 2:15)

4-2280

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 131 – V-0073-01

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Variance is not exercised within two (2) years from date of approval by the City Council it will become void unless an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Variance Application (V-0154-88) shall be expunged by this approval.
3. Any change in use requires a parking analysis be reviewed by the Planning and Development Department prior to the issuance of any building permits, business licenses, or certificate of occupancy.
4. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments, which are not affected by approval of this Variance, must be satisfied.
5. This Variance shall be subject to a two (2) year review.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0073-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0121-87(1) - FREE ENTERPRISE INSTITUTE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF GREAT AMERICAN CAPITAL - Request for a Site Development Plan Review TO ALLOW A PROPOSED 8,325 SQUARE FOOT SYNAGOGUE on property located adjacent to the southeast corner of Regatta Drive and Breakwater Drive (APN: 138-16-714-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="2"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="99"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda: Letter of Protest from a resident
5. Submitted at meeting: Approval Letter from Desert Shores Board of Directors listing provisions of approval.
6. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Desert Shores Community Relations Committee regarding preliminary review of plans.
7. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Desert Shores Community Relations Committee listing an additional roof provision.
8. Submitted at meeting: Petitions of Support with 114 signatures

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions and adding the following condition:

- *Prior to the approval of any building permit for the subject site, the applicant shall obtain an off-site parking agreement in compliance with the applicable requirements of Municipal Code Title 19A.10.010(H) demonstrating to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department Director that the minimum offsite parking*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 132 – Z-0121-87(1)

MOTION – Continued:

spaces required by Title 19A.10.010 will be available for the subject synagogue development within the adjacent commercial parcel.

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 131 [V-0073-01] and Item 132 [Z-0121-87(1)] was held under Item 131 [V-0073-01].

(2:06 – 2:15)

4-2280

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. If companion item (V-0073-01) is denied, this application shall be void, and a new application depicting the required parking shall be submitted.
3. The site plan shall be revised to depict minimum seven (7') foot wide sidewalks adjacent to all parking spaces to prevent vehicle from obstructing the sidewalks. In addition, a minimum five (5') foot sidewalk shall be indicated leading from the parking spaces to the front entrance.
4. The site plan shall be amended to depict a handicap accessible route from the public right-of-way to the parking space and the entrance to the building as required by the Department of Planning and Development. The handicap route must be separated from vehicular travel.
5. The site plan shall be revised to depict all required handicapped parking spaces that conform with Section 19A.10.010(G) of the Las Vegas Zoning Ordinance.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 132 – Z-0121-87(1)

CONDITIONS:

6. The landscape plan shall be revised to depict the proposed type and size of landscaping within the 'Xeriscape' area.
7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
8. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
9. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
10. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
11. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
12. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
13. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

Public Works

14. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
15. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to determine appropriate public sewer connection routes to service this site prior to the submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the Collection Systems Planning Section.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 132 – Z-0121-87(1)

CONDITIONS:

16. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

17. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits or submittal of any construction drawings whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
18. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0121-87 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0078-01 - MBP INDUSTRIES LC ON BEHALF OF ULTIMATE COLLISION - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 67 PARKING SPACES WHERE 88 PARKING SPACES ARE THE MINIMUM REQUIRED in conjunction with a proposed auto paint and body shop adjacent to the west side of Rancho Drive, approximately 265 feet north of Cheyenne Avenue (APN: 139-12-801-009), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD MORENO, 300 South 4th Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and expressed thanks for the professionalism of staff in resolving various problems and concurred with staff's conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, questioned whether a condition was imposed to screen vehicles pending repair. MR. MORENO replied that most vehicles will be inside a wall for security and all work will be done inside the building. There are 35 spaces within the building. This is an upscale facility. CHRIS GLORE, Planning and Development, added that the related Special Use Permit includes required conditions, one of which requires full screening from streets or adjacent properties.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 133– V-0078-01

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK stressed that the Variance was being granted based on the 25-foot wide drainage easement which runs through the property, clearly justifying the project moving forward.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Related discussion pertaining to Item 133 [V-0078-01], Item 134 [SD-0055-01] and Item 135 [U-0124-01] was held under Item 133 [V-0078-01].

(2:15 – 2:20)

4-2700

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Submit a revised site plan for review by planning staff depicting compliance with the requirement for number of handicap parking spaces and the proper width of access aisles.
2. If this Variance is not exercised within two (2) years from date of approval by the City Council it will become void unless an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments which are not affected by approval of this Variance must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0078-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - SD-0055-01 - MBP INDUSTRIES LC ON BEHALF OF ULTIMATE COLLISION - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 15,880 SQUARE FOOT AUTO PAINT & BODY REPAIR SHOP on 1.3 acres adjacent to the west side of Rancho Drive, approximately 265 feet north of Cheyenne Avenue, (APN: 138-12-801-009), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD MORENO, 300 South Fourth Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 134 – SD-0055-01

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: Related discussion pertaining to Item 133 [V-0078-01], Item 134 [SD-0055-01] and Item 135 [U-0124-01] was held under Item 133 [V-0078-01].

(2:15 – 2:20)

4-2700

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the Planning Commission approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. The site plan shall be revised to depict the required 88 parking spaces or the City Council shall approve a Variance to reduce the parking requirement.
3. No utility vault exceeding 27 cubic feet in size may encroach into approved landscape areas along public street frontages. Additionally, no waivers from required landscaping or approved signage standards shall be allowed due to the placement of any utility vaults.
4. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed buildings. Lighting standards within the parking lots shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights.
5. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).
6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
8. No used or discarded automotive parts or equipment shall be located outside of an enclosed building.
9. Conformance to all Conditions of Approval for U-0124-01.

Public Works

10. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Rancho Drive and Gwen Place adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 134 – SD-0055-01

CONDITIONS:

11. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
12. Provide a copy of a recorded Joint Access Agreement between this site and the adjacent parcel to the north prior to the issuance of any permits. This condition shall not be enforced if a shared driveway is not constructed.
13. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a, including the full width of the driveway accessing Rancho to allow for two-way traffic. Also, the proposed driveway accessing Rancho Drive shall receive approval from the Nevada Department of Transportation.
14. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 134 – SD-0055-01

CONDITIONS:

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

15. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer. We note that this site is within a FEMA "A" Flood Zone.
16. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way on Rancho Drive adjacent to this site.
17. Submit an application for an Occupancy Permit for all landscaping and private improvements in the Rancho Drive public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO V-0078-01 AND SD-0055-01
- PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0124-01 - MBP INDUSTRIES, LC ON BEHALF OF
ULTIMATE COLLISION** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED AUTO
PAINT & BODY REPAIR SHOP on 1.3 acres on the west side of Rancho Drive, approximately
265 feet north of Cheyenne Avenue (APN: 138-12-801-009), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone,
Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD MORENO, 300 South Fourth Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

COUNCILMAN MACK reiterated that all screening and aesthetic considerations were being included. There is a focus on maintaining the Rancho Drive corridor as an upscale corridor and that focus includes imposing whatever conditions are necessary to meet that high standard.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 135 – U-0124-01

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Related discussion pertaining to Item 133 [V-0078-01], Item 134 [SD-0055-01] and Item 135 [U-0124-01] was held under Item 133 [V-0078-01].

(2:15 – 2:20)

4-2700

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to all conditions of approval listed in Title 19A.04.050 Auto Paint and Body Repair Shop.
2. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
3. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two-years of this approval, this Special Use permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REQUIRED SIX MONTH REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING -
U-0048-01(1) - PLAZA NORTH ON BEHALF OF DON AND CLAUDIA GLOUDE -
Required Six Month Review on an approved Special Use Permit which allowed a Restaurant
Service Bar in conjunction with an existing restaurant (Taste of N'Awlins) at 9320 Sun City
Boulevard, #101 (APN: 138-18-614-001), PC (Planned Community) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown).
Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. No Objection Letter from Don and Claudia Gloude

MOTION:**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DON GLOUDE, 8019 Skywall Court, was present and invited everyone to visit. The hours of operation through the holidays are Tuesday through Saturday, 11:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. and, after the holidays, the same hours but Monday through Saturday.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, indicated that beer and wine is a necessity with this type of food and he encouraged approval.

JOE ASHBAUGH, 2808 Billy Casper Drive, stated that he would oppose hard liquor sales but not beer and wine sales.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 136 – U-0048-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(2:20 – 2:22)
4-2940

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to all applicable conditions of approval for Special Use Permit U-0048-01.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING -
**U-0052-95(2) - AMBER INVESTMENT COMPANY ON BEHALF OF LAMAR
OUTDOOR ADVERTISING COMPANY** - Required One Year Review of an approved
Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A 14 FOOT BY 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE
ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 336 West Sahara Avenue (APN: 162-04-807-002), C-
1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff
recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KELLEEN COTA, Lamar Outdoor Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, concurred with the staff conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 137 – U-0052-95(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(2:22 – 2:24)
4-3060

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in one year at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0002-98(2) - SG PROPERTIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING COMPANY - Required One Year Review on a Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A 55 FOOT TALL, DOUBLE-FACED 14 FOOT BY 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 845 West Bonanza Road (APN: 139-28-801-008), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KELLEEN COTA, Lamar Outdoor Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, concurred with the staff conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North Nineteenth Street, stated that Lamar Outdoor Advertising should be commended for their bus shelters. They are a lifesaver during the summertime.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 138– U-0002-98(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:24 – 2:26)

4-3150

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0135-01 - FARM & ALEXANDER PROPERTIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED 70,185 SQUARE FOOT MINI-STORAGE FACILITY located adjacent to the southeast corner of Alexander Road and Tenaya Way (APN: 138-10-516-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY SCOTT EATON, Lionel, Sawyer & Collins, 300 South 4th Street, , appeared with GREG BORGEL on behalf of the applicant. The two use permits are common uses within this type of shopping center. He concurred with all of staff conditions.

COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated his support of both applications but confirmed with ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, that the site plan has been filed and will be heard at a later date. The approval of this application regarding the mini-storage facility does lock in the square footage for the facility up to that number. During the site plan review, the Council would have the ability to consider the configuration of the square footage but not to

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 139 – U-0135-01

MINUTES – Continued:

reduce the size. MR. BORGEL stipulated that the 70,185 square feet will be a maximum, and the actual number will be open for discussion. COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that given that record, he would move for approval.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Related discussion pertaining to Item 139 [U-0135-01] and Item 140 [U-0136-01] was held under Item 139 [U-0135-01].

(2:26 – 2:29)

4-3257

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years of the approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. No more than one manager's security residence shall be permitted.
3. All storage shall be within an enclosed building except for the storage of recreational vehicles, which shall be completely screened from view from surrounding properties and abutting streets.
4. No business shall be conducted from or within a mini-storage facility.
5. Retail sale of stored items on the premises is prohibited.
6. The commercial repair of motor vehicles, boats, trailers and other like vehicles shall be prohibited.
7. The operation of spray-painting equipment, power tools, welding equipment or other similar equipment shall be prohibited.
8. The production, fabrication or assembly of products shall be prohibited.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 139 – U-0135-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

9. The rental of single unit trucks and small utility trailers shall be permitted as an accessory use to a mini-storage use, provided the business is conducted out of the same office as that of the mini-storage facility. No trucks or trailers shall be displayed in public view and the combined total of all trucks and trailers stored on site shall not exceed a ratio of two trucks or trailers for each 100 storage units. On-site parking shall be provided for each rental vehicle in excess of the number required for the mini-storage complex.
10. Truck and trailer storage shall be screened from the street and adjacent property.
11. When adjacent to a residential use, the exterior wall of the mini-warehouse shall be constructed of decorative block.
12. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Site Plan Review [Z-0025-88(9)].
13. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0136-01 - FARM & ALEXANDER PROPERTIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit for a PROPOSED CAR WASH IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED CONVENIENCE STORE on property located adjacent to the southeast corner of Alexander Road and Tenaya Way (APN: 138-10-516-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY SCOTT EATON, Lionel, Sawyer & Collins, 300 South 4th Street, , appeared with GREG BORGEL on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 140 – U-0136-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Related discussion pertaining to Item 139 [U-0135-01] and Item 140 [U-0136-01] was held under Item 139 [U-0135-01].

(2:26 – 2:29)

4-3257

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years after this approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Site Development Plan Review [Z-0025-88(9)].
3. All City Code Requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0137-01 - JORGE MELENDEZ ON BEHALF OF ALPINE FIXTURES - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A SECONDHAND DEALER OF USED RESTAURANT EQUIPMENT located at 1317 South Main Street (APN's: 162-03-110-085 and 086), C-M (Commercial Industrial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 6 as follows:

- *Contribute \$1,953.90 to the City for the full width alley improvements adjacent to this site within 60 days of approval of this action by the City Council. Failure to comply with this condition may result in this item being reconsidered by the City Council.*

– UNANIMOUS

NOTE: ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, disclosed that he has not been involved in any way with this application because his father-in-law owns property in the notification area.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant, JORGE MELENDEZ, 1317 South Main Street, concurred with all of the conditions with the exception of Condition No. 6 relating to the full width alley improvements. COUNCILMAN REESE asked the applicant to work with staff to reach an amicable agreement.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 141 – U-0137-01

MINUTES – Continued:

CHERI EDELMAN, Public Works, affirmed that the alley improvements are not planned for at least two to five years and stated that in lieu of doing the construction work, the applicant may make a direct contribution for the alley improvement.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:29 – 2:32)

4-3425

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one year after the approval, the Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. No outdoor display, sales or storage of any merchandise is permitted.
3. The use shall comply with the applicable requirements of Title 6 (Business Taxes, Licenses and Regulations) of the Las Vegas Municipal Code.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

5. Dedicate an additional 5 feet of right-of-way for a total half-street width of 45 feet on Main Street adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.
6. Construct full width alley improvements adjacent to this site and extending northward to tie into existing improvements along Colorado Street concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 141 – U-0137-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
8. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on-site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first.
9. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way on Main Street adjacent to this site.
10. Submit an application for an Occupancy Permit for all landscaping and private improvements in the Main Street public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0070-01 - LOVE FAMILY TRUST, ET AL ON BEHALF OF SPRING MOUNTAIN RANCH, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] and R-E (Residence Estates) Zone TO: R-PD6 (Residential Planned Development - 6 Units per Acre) on 35.93 acres adjacent to southeast corner of the Fort Apache Road and Log Cabin Way alignments (APN: 125-05-301-001, 002, 003, 005, 006, 007, and 008), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner and Renshaw, represented the applicant. He explained that the plans have undergone several significant changes since first being submitted to the Planning Commission. One of the issues dealt with the larger lots. In order to resolve the situation, the project was altered placing the smaller lots on the western portion of the parcel and the larger lots on the eastern portion to buffer the property to the north. He also affirmed that with the rearrangement of lots, the project consists of 215 single family residential lots rather than 208 lots.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 142 – Z-0070-01

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK expressed his appreciation for the plan modifications and the benefit of the larger lots facing Log Cabin and Campbell.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Related discussion pertaining to Item 142 [Z-0070-01] and Item 143 [Z-0070-01(1)] was held under Item 142 [Z-0070-01].

(2:32 – 2:37)

4-3645

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Submit a Petition of Vacation for Dapple Grey Road and the east and west sides of Campbell Road where in conflict with this proposal. Such vacation shall be recorded prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or recordation of a Final Map overlying the area to be vacated.
4. Dedicate 40 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Log Cabin Way, a 54-foot radius on the southeast corner of Fort Apache Road and Log Cabin Way and a 20-foot radius on the northeast corner of Fort Apache Road and O'Hare Avenue. Alternatively, on Fort Apache Road the applicant may dedicate 30 feet of right-of-way and grant 10 foot "Public Use Roadway Corridor Easement" adjacent to such right-of-way for a total public half-street corridor width of 40 feet. Such public use easement shall be granted and available for any public need in conjunction with but not limited to traffic, drainage and storm sewers, streetlighting, fire hydrants, walkways, and sanitary sewer purposes. All required corner radii and chord easements shall be reserved behind and adjacent to such roadway corridor easement as if the easement area were dedicated right-of-way.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 142 – Z-0070-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

5. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving where legally able on Fort Apache Road, Log Cabin Way, and O'Hare Avenue adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Also, if not already constructed at the time of development, construct a minimum of two lanes of paved, legal access to this site prior to final inspection of any units within this development.
6. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine traffic signal contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 and #234.2 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1, for dedicated right-turn lanes and dual left turn lanes, shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
7. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works for the Extension and Oversizing of public sanitary sewer to a location acceptable to the City Engineer. Extend the oversized sewer in Fort Apache Road to the northern boundary of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 142 – Z-0070-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first, as required by the Department of Public Works. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements. The amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. In lieu of monetary contributions, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site if allowed by the Planning Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0070-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0070-01(1) - LOVE FAMILY TRUST, ET AL ON BEHALF OF SPRING MOUNTAIN RANCH, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 208-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 35.93 acres adjacent to southeast corner of the Fort Apache Road and Log Cabin Way alignments (APN: 125-05-301-001, 002, 003, 005, 006, 007, and 008), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation) and R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, PROPOSED: R-PD6 (Residential Planned Development - 6 Units per Acre, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff has no recommendation. The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff has no recommendation. The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 7 as follows:

7. The setbacks for this development shall be as follows: minimum of 18 feet to the front of the garage/house, as measured from the back of curb or sidewalk, 5 feet on the side, 10 feet on the corner side, and 13 feet in the rear.

And deleting Condition No. 3.

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner and Renshaw, represented the applicant and confirmed with CHRIS GLORE, Planning & Development that the rear setback has been reduced from 15 feet to 13 feet.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 143 – Z-0070-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked the developer for bringing the water line into the area, which will additionally enhance the Town Center project.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Related discussion pertaining to Item 142 [Z-0070-01] and Item 143 [Z-0070-01(1)] was held under Item 142 [Z-0070-01].

(2:32 – 2:37)

4-3645

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning (Z-0070-01) to an R-PD6 (Residential Planned Development - 6 Units per Acre) Zoning District.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. The site plan shall be revised to provide larger lot sizes on the perimeter of the project abutting Log Cabin Way, Fort Apache Road, and O'Hare Avenue to compliment the adjacent zoning classifications and densities.
4. Revise the site plan to provide a four-foot wide sidewalk on at least one side of the street.
5. Submit a revised landscape plan to indicate the recreational facilities. One Hundred percent of open space/common space shall be installed at the time 75% of the houses are built.
6. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
7. The setbacks for this development shall be as follows: minimum of 18 feet to the front of the garage/house, as measured from the back of curb or sidewalk, 5 feet on the side, 10 feet on the corner side, and 15 feet in the rear.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 143 – Z-0070-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
9. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
10. The applicant shall have constructed a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials, along the street frontages. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
11. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
12. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

13. Coordinate with the Development Coordination Section of the Department of Public Works to resolve issues relating to parcel access within and adjacent to this overall project prior to the submittal of a Tentative Map for this site.
14. A Master Streetlight plan for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
15. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections. The CC&R's for the Homeowner's Association shall be submitted to and approved by the City Attorney's Office.
16. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 143 – Z-0070-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

17. The final layout of this site shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map. Issues relating to parcel access and final right-of-way requirements shall be addressed at that time.
18. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-70-01, on this same agenda, and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION AND DANGEROUS BUILDINGS OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

NL CIMARRON ROAD & WINDRUSH AVENUE, NL STARS END STREET & STARFINDER AVENUE, DB 2201 WESTLUND DRIVE, U-0131-01, U-0134-01, U-0139-01, V-0075-01, VAC-0040-01 – 1/2/2002 AGENDA

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ADDENDUM:

None.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: DECEMBER 19, 2001

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

MINUTES:

CAROL LE DUC, 7575 Rome Boulevard, raised a question regarding permitted changes to a site plan. During a recent neighborhood meeting regarding a related issue, the developer presented a plan that showed an added pad location, a substantial change, after the Planning Commission and City Council hearings in July and August. How did this happen and how are neighbors supposed to know about these things?

COUNCILMAN MACK directed staff to research the matter and advise MS. LE DUC. COUNCILMAN REESE stressed that citizens should call immediately whenever they see something being done that conflicts with what they believed could be built.

(2:37 - 2:42)

5-135

DREW SPANGLER, 5014 South Maryland Parkway #23, explained that he wants to go to the Olympic Games and has been approved for Section 8 housing in Salt Lake City County effective next week. He is seeking financial support to enable him to work the Olympic Games and represent the State, County and City. He would make a good representative and there is a need for security and people who can put things together and take them apart. He has worked two previous Olympic Games. He submitted his letter of request.

(2:42 - 2:45)

5-270

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that he has been helping beautify the City by working on his home. He commended PAUL WILKINS and TIM COOLEY for their assistance during his roofing project. He was only sorry that ROBERT GENZER was not able to also be there to help.

(2:45 - 2:46)

5-390

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001 CITIZENS PARTICIPATION

MINUTES – Continued:

AL GALLEGRO, citizen of Las Vegas, spoke about the City's contract to develop a golf course out in the Northwest. That contract was supposed to be finalized by the end of the year. The City of Las Vegas did a disservice to BILLY WALTERS as a result of the Media outcry.

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER HOUCHENS explained that the contract is in intense negotiations. Anyone visiting the site will see equipment on site, ground has been turned and construction is well underway.

(2:46 – 2:49)

5-425

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY thanked everyone for their support throughout the year and thanked all his constituents. A lot of young people were honored during the meeting today and he appealed to the public for help to assist a young man who had suffered kidney failure, losing his Montana State University scholarship as a result. A former fraternity brother was able to return to the NBA after receiving a kidney donated by his brother. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY offered encouragement and prayers for MR. JOHNSON during this holiday season.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD thanked the Mayor and the rest of the Council. Despite media portrayals, his colleagues were there for him during his surgery and his father's surgery. Things like that make people reevaluate life. He wished everyone Happy Holidays.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD concurred and thanked constituents of Ward 2 and the entire City for their support in helping those at the City serve them. She expressed her gratitude to supportive family and friends and looked forward to many more years of service to the citizens of Las Vegas. She also thanked the Council for their teamwork and support this year.

COUNCILMAN MACK echoed the sentiments of his colleagues. Despite some difficulties this year, it has been an honor to serve his constituents and to work with the rest of the Council. He thanked his family for their support and wished everyone a Happy Holiday. He looks to the future to continue being the best Board in the Valley. Importantly, he extended accolades to City staff, particularly CITY MANAGER VALENTINE who works hard to make the Council look good.

COUNCILMAN BROWN thanked the Mayor and his colleagues for their support. Even though everyone does not always agree, he does respect each one of them. He extended holiday wishes from his family to everyone on the Council and throughout the City.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF DECEMBER 19, 2001 CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

COUNCILMAN REESE thanked his mother who watches every Council meeting. She instructed him to wish MR. FARLOW a Merry Christmas. It is a pleasure to experience the camaraderie that exists at City Hall. A lot of established goals have been met and he looks forward to the State of the City Address on 1/7/2002 to see what the Mayor has planned for 2002. He thanked his family and wished everyone a Happy Holiday Season with good health.

CITY MANAGER VALENTINE stressed that she is able to do what she does because of the support of the Council, her Deputy City Managers and Directors.

MAYOR GOODMAN echoed every comment made by his Council. He felt very lucky to be able to serve the people of the City of Las Vegas, to have the wonderful Council, City Manager and staff. There have been differences, but each and every person does what they believe to be right and in the best interest of the City. He wished everyone the happiest of holidays and a healthy and prosperous 2002.

(2:49 - 2:54)

5-515

THE MEETING ADJOURNED AT 2:55 P.M.